Next steps

Brian Carpenter brc@zurich.ibm.com *August 2005*

Is the sky falling?

- IETF 50, March 2001, Minneapolis: 1822 people
 Core attendees (4+ out of 6 meetings): 938 people
- IETF 62, March 2005, Minneapolis: 1133 people (down 38%)
 - Core attendees (4+ out of 6 meetings): 743 people (down 21%)
- NASDAQ Telecom Index
 - March 1, 2001: 400.690
 - March 1, 2005: 182.120 (down 55%)



Achieved (by others, not me)

- IASA admin restructuring well under way

 big, important, sometimes distracting effort
- IETF Mission Statement
- TOOLS team in place
- EDU team in place
- Improvements in IESG document processing
 - PROTO shepherds
 - Constant improvements to I-D tracker
 - General Area Review Team
 - Several Areas have specialized review teams
 - Note that Secretariat performance and tools issues are now IASA responsibility

In progress (IESG)

- Transparency efforts
 - retreat minutes published
 - narrative minutes experiment planned
 - Info/Exp criteria published
 - DISCUSS criteria draft published
 - remember that all DISCUSS comments are visible in tracker
 - other operational notes exposed

-http://www.ietf.org/u/ietfchair/

- conscious efforts to include all parties in email threads (especially resolving DISCUSSes and charter discussions)
- can always do more

In progress with IAB

- Liaison mechanisms
 - governing RFCs published
 - liaison submission tool in beta test
 - especially active liaisons with ITU-T SG13 and IEEE 802.*
 - still need clarity on how "requirement" liaison inputs from other bodies can be effectively and efficiently handled within the IETF process

In progress (IETF) (1)

• IPR WG

- basically done; no consensus for change in underlying policy
- trailing copyright issues
- NEWTRK
 - disagreement between WG and IESG on ISD proposal
 - renewed interest in radical surgery to standards track
 - meeting Friday morning

In progress (IETF/IAB) (2)

- IANA
 - interesting debate about role of IANA
 Considerations
 - interesting debate about boundaries of authority for assignments
- Technical Specifications publication

 what are the IETF's requirements?
 techspec BOF in Vancouver
- Note that tracking IANA and RFC Editor performance is now IASA responsibility

Open

- Quality and timeliness of WG output
 - does the community hold WGs to a high enough standard?
 - ~30% of standards track drafts pass the IESG with no DISCUSS
 - more to do on WG engineering practices
 - tools for WGs as well as tools for IESG?
- Cross-area review
 - partial solutions in place; can we do more?
- IESG throughput rate and role
 - IESG needs to be able to set directions ("steer")
 - would reorganization make things better, or just different?
 - should the final review process be split out?
- Patchwork of updates to RFC 2026

Open (personal)

- The IETF Chair has conflicting roles:
 - Chair of the IETF
 - Member of IAB
 - Member of IAOC
 - Chair of the IESG
 - Area Director of the General Area
- We need to discuss carefully the advantages and disadvantages of splitting these roles

Very Open

- "The IETF has Difficulty Handling Large and/or Complex Problems" (RFC 3774)
 - we do best designing "elements of the Internet Architecture" (IESG retreat, 4/2005)
 opinion: this is a feature, not a bug
- "Working Group Dynamics can make Issue Closure Difficult" (RFC 3774)
 - open access and the rough consensus process inevitably have this effect
- Are these features just the cost of doing business the IETF way?

Process change

- The world has changed, so further change in IETF process is apparently needed
- It is hard to predict the effect and cost of changes in a non-linear system
- The aircraft is in flight, so the engines need to be changed with care (probably one at a time)



Discussion forum for process change?

- We have previously use regular WGs for process reform
 - is this the best way for the next round of process reform?
 - change must do more good than harm, so broad implications must be scrutinized
 - obviously, genuine IETF consensus is needed on the results
 - must be compatible with human and budgetary resource constraints (IASA signoff)

Use of Town Hall Time

- Please make your remarks short and to the point
 - IETF Admin, Operations & Process topics only tonight, please - technical topics tomorrow
- Remember to speak clearly many of us don't come from the US France
- Welcome to the microphone! We are all equal here.

Issues raised

- project management/delay reduction for drafts (Henning Schulzrinne)
- process change ideas (Spencer Dawkins)
 - draft-klensin-nomcom-term-00.txt
 - draft-klensin-stds-review-panel-00.txt
- does the community believe process change is needed (if so, at what priority)? (Bert Wijnen)
- what is the best way to change the process? (Brian Carpenter)
 - at least, how do we focus the list discussion?