### SIEVE Mail Filtering WG

IETF 74, San Francisco March 23, 2009

WG Chairs: Cyrus Daboo, Alexey Melnikov

Mailing List: <mailto:ietf-mta-filters@imc.org> Jabber: <u>sieve@jabber.ietf.org</u>

#### Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- the IETF plenary session,
- any IETF working group or portion thereof,
- the IESG or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG,
- the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,
- any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices,
- the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

# Agenda

•Document status review	(10 mins)
•WG Status review	(10 mins)
•Mime Loops (draft-ietf-sieve-mime-loop-08)	(15 mins)
•Notary (draft-freed-sieve-notary-04)	(10 mins)
•Notify SIP (draft-ietf-sieve-notify-sip-message-01)	(10 mins)
•SIEVE in XML (draft-freed-sieve-in-xml-03)	(15 mins)
•Include (draft-daboo-sieve-include-06)	(15 mins)
•RegEx Discussion	(15 mins)
•EAI Issue	(10 mins)
•Benefits of SIEVE	(10 mins)

•Total:

120 mins

### Document Status

- Published!!
  - 5429 (Reject)
  - 5435 (Notify)
  - 5436 (Notify mailto)
  - 5437 (Notify xmpp)
  - 5473 (iHave)
- RFC Editor Queue:
  - ManageSIEVE
  - IMAPEXT-METADATA
- IESG processing:
  - MIME Loops
- Others:
  - Will talk about shortly...

# Working Group Status

- Since Last Time:
  - Completed a number of specs
  - IESG Last Calls on ManageSIEVE, MIME Loops
  - WGLC on draft-ietf-sieve-notify-sip-message
  - WGLC on draft-freed-sieve-in-xml-02
  - Started work on include
- Still need action on:
  - RegEx
  - External Lists
  - EAI Issues
  - Benefits of SIEVE
  - Test scripts
- Alexey is the new Applications Area AD, therefore we seek volunteers to co-chair SIEVE.

- Current: draft-ietf-sieve-mime-loop-08
- IESG Last Call done in December
- GenART done minor comments to address
- SecDir done one typo to fix
- One comment needs to be addressed:

Section 5 defines a "Original-From" header field which can be used when the entire message is replaced. The "From" header in an Internet mail message denotes the author of the message. The draft doesn't offer any rationale for the author change. Can you clarify why that change is needed?

First and foremost, although the specifications provides a means to access content-type and content-disposition parameters via :param, nowhere does it discuss handling of RFC 2231 encoding and segmentation, e.g.,

Content-Type: application/x-stuff title\*0\*=us-ascii'en'This%20is%20even%20more%20 title\*1\*=%2A%2A%2Afun%2A%2A%2A%20 title\*2="isn't it!"

A couple of years ago this would not have been a big deal, but now that Thunderbird routinely produces RFC2231-encoded contentdisposition parameters there's a significant need to be able to handle them. I therefore suggest that text be added saying implementations SHOULD support desegmentation, decoding, and charset translation of parameter values encoded according to RFC 2231.

A closely related issue is the handling of RFC 2047 encoded-words in parameter values. RFC 2047 actually forbids such usage, but it is nevertheless quite popular. I think the right thing to do here is say implementations MAY decode RFC 2047 encoded words in parameter values even though they aren't supposed to be used in such contexts.

The specification is a little unclear on the handling of content-disposition fields as opposed to content-type fields. The syntax of the two is similar but not identical - CD lacks the "/ subtype" part CT has. I think some clarification is in order here - we should be explicit that when dealing with CD fields:

(1) :type returns the disposition value

- (2) :subtype is blank
- (3) :contentype is the same as ":type"
- (4) :param is the same as with CT

Finally, an issue that comes in pretty much every Sieve extension that defines a new or alters an existing test is how it interacts with the relational extension's :count facility. I opted for the following semantics:

(1) :type, :subtype and :contentype return a count of the number of headers that parsed successfully.(2) :params return a count of the number of parameters with the given name that were found.

In section 12.2, it says that "mime" adds :mime and :anychild. This is correct but incomplete - it also adds :type, :subtype, :contentype, and :param to the header test.

The examples in sections 9.1 and 9.2 are incorrect. More specifically, they have a tagged argument (:matches) appearing after a positional argument. According to RFC 5228 section 2.6.2, this is not allowed.

# Notary

- New draft available: draft-freed-sieve-notary-04.txt
- Addresses all comments from prior WGLC
- Ready to send to IESG?

# Notify SIP #1

- draft-ietf-sieve-notify-sip-message-00.txt
- Recent changes:
  - Require inclusion of the "method" SIP URI parameter and allow future extension to use SIP methods other than MESSAGE
    - Previous version only allowed for use of the MESSAGE SIP method and the "method" URI parameter was ignored
  - Convert value of the :from tag to From header field, instead of Reply-To header field
  - The notification generation timestamp is now conveyed in the Date header field
    - Was in the body of the message

# Notify SIP #2

- Currently value of the :message tag is converted to the text/plain body part of the SIP notification message.
  Should it be more structured?
  - E.g. application/sieve-notification+xml
- Need more details on determining if the notification recipient is online and also the corresponding Security Considerations text
- Duplicate timestamp in the notification message body?
  - Eric Burger said that many SIP stacks strip SIP headers before passing the rest to applications
- Use SIP PUBLISH/SUBSCRIBE instead of MESSAGE?

# XML Representation of SIEVE

- Defines an XML representation for Sieve scripts
- Intended to facilitate manipulation of Sieve using XML tools, not intended to replace existing representation
- XML and Relax NG schemata provided
- Sample stylesheet given to convert from XML to traditional sieve

## XML: Recent Changes

- Relax NG grammar added
- Schemata now allow material in other namespaces
- Corrected stylesheet handling of comments
- Better specification of structured comment convention
- Clarified that code reuse is allowed

### XML: Status

- WGLC Done
- Many comments received and replied to
- Awaiting new draft
- XML Directorate Review?

# Include

- Refresh of former Include spec done: draft-daboosieve-include-06
- Aaron is primary author
- Is this the approach people want to take?
- How about the multiscript behavior published as a different draft a while back?

# RegEx

- Need to pick up work on this again.
- Key Issues:
  - What RegEx syntax to use?
  - How to deal with i18n issues?
  - Interaction with others specs (e.g. variables)?
  - Security concerns?

### EAI

- Anything in latest EAI work we need to be aware of?
- Any impact from latest IDNAbis work?
- Do we really need a draft on SIEVE EAI?

### Benefits of SIEVE

• Call for volunteers to start work on this.

#### Any Other Business?