

BEHAVE Working Group

IETF 75 – Stockholm
July 26-31, 2009

Session 1, Monday: 09:00-11:30
Session 2, Tuesday: 09:00-11:30

Chairs:

Dave Thaler, dthaler@microsoft.com

Dan Wing, dwing@cisco.com

Session 1, Monday

- 9:00 Note takers, agenda, existing milestones (Chairs, 10)
- 9:10 Framework (Fred Baker, 20)
draft-ietf-behave-v6v4-framework-00
- 9:30 Translation (Xing Li, 20)
draft-ietf-behave-v6v4-xlate-00
- 9:50 Stateful Translation (Marcelo Bagnulo Braun, 20)
draft-ietf-behave-v6v4-xlate-stateful-01
- 10:10 IPv6 Addressing of IPv6/IPv4 Translators (Dave Thaler, 20)
draft-thaler-behave-translator-addressing-00
- 10:30 Affect of IPv6 Prefix with Referrals (Xing Li, 15)
draft-bcx-behave-learn-address-00
- 10:45 Learning the IPv6 Prefixes of an IPv6/IPv4 Translator (Dan Wing, 15)
draft-wing-behave-learn-prefix-03
- 11:00 Translation in Host (Hui Deng, 15)
draft-huang-pnat-host-ipv6-01 proposal
- 11:15 ICMPv6 Echo Replies for Teredo Clients (Teemu Savolainen, 15)
draft-denis-icmpv6-generation-for-teredo-00
- 11:30 end

Session 2, Tuesday

- 9:00 Agenda, note takers (Chairs, 5)
- 9:05 DNS64 (Marcelo Bagnulo Braun, 20)
draft-ietf-behave-dns64-00
- 9:25 DNS64 implementation report (Simon Perreault, 10)
- 9:35 FTP64 (Iljitsch van Beijnum, 15)
draft-van-beijnum-behave-ftp64-05
- 9:55 Virtual IPv6 Connectivity for IPv4-Only Networks (Christian Vogt, 15)
draft-vogt-durand-virtual-ip6-connectivity-02
- 10:10 Multicast IPv6/IPv4 Translation Framework (Stig Venaas, 20)
draft-venaas-behave-v4v6mc-framework-00
- 10:30 Common Functions of Large Scale NAT (LSN) (Shin Miyakawa, 15)
draft-nishitani-cgn-02
- 10:45 Redundancy and Load Balancing for Stateful NAT (Xiaohu Xu, 15)
draft-xu-behave-stateful-nat-standby-00
- 11:00 BGP for load balancing NAT (Chen Gang, 5)
draft-chen-behave-rsnat-01
- 11:05 Generic Referral Object (GRO) (Joel Halpern, 15)
draft-carpenter-behave-referral-object-00
- 11:20 NAT Control Protocols Review (Wojciech Dec, 5)
draft-brockners-nat-control-protocols-review-00
- 11:25 finished

Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- the IETF plenary session,
- any IETF working group or portion thereof,
- the IESG or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG,
- the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,
- any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices,
- the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.



Revised Charter

- Added two new Scenarios:
 - 5. An IPv6 network to an IPv4 network
 - 6. An IPv4 network to an IPv6 network

Existing Milestones

- December 2008
 - SCTP – has anyone reviewed?
 - TURN (on track; date slipped)
- Mar 2009:
 - TURN-TCP
 - TURN-v6
 - Create milestones for 6/4 translation scenarios
- Editor's queue:
 - NAT-ICMP
- IESG Processing:
 - Behavior-discovery, TURN

Documents ready for WGLC

- draft-ietf-behave-turn-uri-02
- draft-ietf-behave-turn-tcp-04
- draft-ietf-behave-sctpnat-01

New Milestone Dates

- Done Submit standards-track relay protocol
- Done Determine relative prioritization of the four translation cases.
- Done Determine what solutions(s) and components are needed to solve each of the four cases. Create new milestones for the solution(s) and the components
- Jun 2009 Submit BCP document for SCTP NAT behavior
- Jun 2009 Submit standard-track document of an IPv6 relay protocol to IESG
- Jul 2009 Submit standards-track TURN-URI document
- Sep 2009 Submit standards-track document for relaying of a TCP bytestream
- Sep 2009 Submit informational for framework for IPv6/IPv4 translation document
- Sep 2009 Submit standards-track stateless IPv6/IPv4 translation document
- Sep 2009 Submit standards-track stateful IPv6/IPv4 translation document
- Sep 2009 Submit standards-track DNS rewriting for IPv6/IPv4 translation document
- Nov 2009 Submit standards-track FTP ALG for IPv6/IPv4 translation document
- Nov 2009 Submit standards-track IPv6 prefix for IPv6/IPv4 translator document
- Mar 2010 Submit BCP large scale NAT requirements document

Question: Are some of these too aggressive?