IPv6 Node Requirements draft-ietf-6man-node-req-bis-04.txt

Thomas Narten narten@us.ibm.com
March 23, 2010

Changes 03 -> 04

- Updated the Introduction to indicate document is an applicability statement
- Changed Sub-IP Layer Section to just list relevant RFCs
- Added Section on SEND, listed as a MAY
- Revised Section on Privacy Extensions (RFC4941) to add more nuance to recommendation.

Mobility Protocols

- Updated section on Mobility protocols
- MIPv6 is changed to MAY (previously, Route Optimization was a SHOULD)
- Removed:
 - Routers SHOULD support the generic mobilityrelated requirements for all IPv6 routers described in Section 8.3 of [RFC3775].
 - Discussion?
- No mention of NEMO (How important is this?)

Next Steps

- Security Section needs cleaning up.
 - Remove extraneous wording related to transforms (should be enough to cite RFC4835)
 - Get reviewed by someone familiar with recent IPsecME work
 - Leave MUST for IPsec
 - What is right recommendation for key management?
 - SHOULD for IKEv2?
- Remove words extracted from specific RFCs?

Questions/Comments?