

CertID-KeyID

(and other issues)

Syntaxes for Unambiguous Identification of
Certificates and Public Keys
(Sean Leonard, Penango, Inc.)
IETF 77, 2010-03-22

draft-ietf-pkix-certid-keyid-01

(Not PKIX WG (yet), sorry)

Misunderstandings

GeneralName != Name

GeneralName != Identity

GeneralName != Identify

GeneralName != Authentication/A'zn

GeneralName == PROTOCOL ELEMENT

- A way to represent data (sometimes, not always, *identifiers*) in PKIX
- How to use this data is context-dependent

Problem

How to identify another certificate
and a key unambiguously...
...in a GeneralName?

- Wrong question
- GeneralName == “everything EXCEPT other certificates and keys”

Technical Problem

Given the tools we have, how can we:
safely, securely, simply, unambiguously,
and uniformly...

identify a certificate (or key) in PKIX or application-specific protocols?

- Using the same method(s) and the same code paths, *because it's the same problem.*
- Standards Track...or BCP

Existing Cert IDs

ASN.1	RFC	ASN.1	RFC
ESSCertID	2634	ESSCertIDv2	5035
CertID/OCSP	2560	SCVPCertID	5055

- Possibly more (haven't reviewed everything)
- Can we just have one please?

Candidate: ESSCertIDv2

```
ESSCertIDv2 ::= SEQUENCE {
    hashAlgorithm      AlgorithmIdentifier
                      DEFAULT {algorithm id-sha256},
    certHash           Hash,
    issuerSerial       IssuerSerial OPTIONAL }
Hash ::= OCTET STRING
IssuerSerial ::= SEQUENCE {
    issuer             GeneralNames,
    serialNumber       CertificateSerialNumber }
```

PKIXCertID ::= ESSCertIDv2

(ASN.1 Module optional; can just be guidance to authors)

Keys

- Why????
- Same principles
- Same problem
- Same solution
- PKIX already does it
(just doesn't want to admit it 😊)

Keys

```
ObjectDigestInfo ::= SEQUENCE {  
  digestedObjectType ENUMERATED {  
    publicKey      (0),  
    publicKeyCert  (1),  
    otherObjectTypes (2) },  
  -- otherObjectTypes MUST NOT  
  -- be used in this profile  
  otherObjectTypeID OBJECT IDENTIFIER OPTIONAL,  
  digestAlgorithm   AlgorithmIdentifier,  
  objectDigest      BIT STRING }
```



Annoying, but it works

Keys by Value

SubjectPublicKeyInfo
...in certificate

No other PKIX-sanctioned way; certs or bust

Conclusions

- PKIX protocols/extensions “SHOULD” use these
- Application-specific protocols/extensions “MAY” use these...
 - But uniform tools mean uniform code to do it.
 - Safe, Secure, Unambiguous
 - Simple? (Close enough...)

END (of this issue)

Questions & Discussion



Natural Juices Locked
in the Can!

ZOO MED'S

Ideal for Reptiles
Birds or Fish

CAN O' WORMS

Net Wt.
1.2 oz. (35g)

Never
Live Food

Patterns

- Do we want to talk about this?
- “A method of specifying and applying access control rules” ...
 - By computers
 - For computer consumption
 - Not human consumption per-se (if you want that, see Subject name, draft-ietf-pkix-certimage, etc.)
- Least Privilege
 - Authority has authority over whole scope (all example.com), but voluntarily chooses to restrict scope to least privilege
 - Broader than single URI (http://foo.example.com/service), but lesser than whole DNS host (*://foo.example.com/*)

Problem

- Class of resources known, defined by URIs
- Interpretation of URIs very scheme-specific
- But all URIs have common format: they are all ASCII strings (or Unicode strings for IRIs)
- (Compare with BURLs [RFC 4468], IMAP AUTH URLs [RFC 5092])

Specific Use Case

- (Hopefully non-controversial)
- AC Targeting Extension, RFC 5755
- Specify (honest) services that MAY use the AC

```
Target ::= CHOICE {  
    targetName      [0] GeneralName,  
    targetGroup     [1] GeneralName,  
    targetCert      [2] TargetCert  
}
```


Specific Use Case

- Match `foo.example.com/websockets/*`
- `*` is invalid URI character
 - Use regular expressions
- URIs complicated to parse
 - Specify URI components
 - Assume URI parser (app has anyway)

URI->Path = `/^\/websockets/`

“WebSockets:” cf. <http://dev.w3.org/html5/websockets/>

END (of this issue)

Questions & Discussion