SPPP Protocol

Session Peering Provisioning Protocol

draft-ietf-drinks-spprov-09

Progress

- WGLC was issued in April
- Comments received from Dean Willis
  - Capitalization inconsistencies and spelling issues
  - Coherence on use of terminology
  - Clarification on authentication and authorization
  - Guidance on transport protocol
  - Whether an IANA registry is needed for response codes
  - Time values
  - Security Considerations section

Updates

- Capitalization inconsistencies and spelling issues
- Coherence on use of terminology
- Clarification on authentication and authorization
- Proposed mandatory SOAP-based transport
- No need for a IANA registry for response codes

Updates

- Time Value
  - MUST BE absolute UTC time
  - Timezone offset in the value are disallowed
- "Security Considerations" section
  - still leans on the transport protocol for all matters of confidentiality, authentication, and authorization

Progress

- 5.1.1.1 Update Request
  - Current spec notes that there is a choice of error handling as "stop and rollback" or "stop and commit". Further, it is left to the implementers to exercise it as a matter of policy
  - For clarity, and to eliminate interoperability issues, the design team agreed that the text needs to be modified in favor of a single "stop and rollback" option.

Next Steps