ALTO Incremental Updates

draft-schwan-incr-updates-01

Nico Schwan Bill Roome <nico.schwan@alcatel-lucent.com>

<w.roome@alcatel-lucent.com>

Presented by Vijay Gurbani

IETF-83 Paris, France Thursday, March 29, 2012

Outline

- Problem Statement
- Existing HTTP Mechanisms
- Incremental Change Messages
- Our Proposal
- Conclusion

Problem Statement

- ALTO Network and Cost Maps can be large, e.g.
 - Network Map: 5000 PIDs, 10 CIDRs per PID → ~ 1.25 MB
 - Cost Map: 5000 PIDs → 5000x5000 matrix → ~ 417 MB
- Estimated update frequency:
 - Network Map: Maybe once every day or two
 - Cost Map: Something changes every few minutes
- → Need conditional *and* incremental updates to **avoid** retransmission of full map for every change
- Draft lists options where client polls ALTO server and server decides based on client request

Existing HTTP Mechanisms

- Conditional retrieval:
 - If-Modified-Since header:
 - Use Last-Modified date returned by ALTO server in previous full map response.
 - If-None-Match header:
 - Use **Etag** returned by ALTO server in previous full map response.
- Partial retrieval:
 - **Range** header:
 - Fetch a byte range. Fine if a GET stopped 25 megs into a 50 meg file.
 - Not useful for fetching changes when updates change the byte offsets.
- Compression:
 - Even at 10:1 compression, 400 megs is still a lot of data.
- Conclusion:
 - HTTP might work for conditional retrieval of a full map, but not for incremental retrieval of changes.

Incremental Change Messages

- JSON Patch:
- But the existing Filtered Network Map and Cost Map response messages work just as well!
 - Network Map:
 - For each PID in the message, replace previous CIDRs with new CIDRs
 - To delete a PID, use "delete" as the value (or an empty array)
 - PIDs not in the message stay the same
 - Cost Map:
 - Costs in the message replace the previous costs for those source/dest PIDs
 - To delete a cost, use the value "delete" (or "-1", or "NaN", or ...)
 - Costs not in the message stay the same

Our Proposal (Overview)

- Two new requests: Get Network Map Updates and Get Cost Map Updates
 - Response is Filtered Network Map or Filtered Cost Map message
 - Add a cost map version tag to full Cost Map responses:

```
{"data": {"cost-vtag": "1266506140", "map": ... }}
```

 Update requests are POST, with a simple input message of MIME type "application/alto-update-param+json". The input gives the tag for the client's current network map or cost map:

```
{"reference-tag": "1266506140"}
```

Our Proposal (Continued)

– New optional field in response:

```
{"full-map": true, ...}
```

If true, the response is the full map, not an incremental update.

- E.g., the client's map is so old that the server can no longer provide incremental changes relative to that version.
- The Cost Map Update request returns the cost-vtag for the new version
- Update URIs are identified in IRD with a capability:

Example

Request:

```
POST /incrementalupdate/costmap
Content-Type: application/alto-update-param+json
Accept: application/alto-costmap+json
{ "reference-tag": "1266506140" }
  Response:
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Type: application/alto-costmap+json
{ "meta": {},
  "data": {
     "cost-mode": "numerical",
     "cost-type": "routingcost",
     "map-vtag": "314159",
     "cost-vtag": "1266506141",
     "full-map": false,
     "map": { "PID1": { "PID2": 1, "PID3": 2 } }
```

Conclusion

- Current draft identifies partial update options
 - Identify Map Version
 - HTTP
 - ALTO Extension
 - Partial Update Options
 - Information Resource Capability
- Next steps:
 - Other options?
 - Identify most suitable option