Benchmarking Methodology WG (bmwg) 83rd IETF

- Tuesday, March 27, 2012, (Paris Local Time, GMT+1:00)
- Chairs:
 - Al Morton (acmorton@att.com)
- If you are not subscribed to the BMWG mailing list and would like to be, please go to https:// www1.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/bmwg

Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) Policy

The IETF intellectual property rights rules are defined in RFC 3979, "Intellectual Property Rights in IETF Technology" (updated by RFC 4879, "Clarification of the Third Party Disclosure Procedure in RFC 3979").

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in any IETF documents or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights.

IETF Contribution: any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an Internet-Draft or RFC (except for RFC Editor Contributions described below) and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity. Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

* the IETF plenary session,

* any IETF working group or portion thereof,

* the IESG, or any member thereof on behalf or the IESG,

* the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,

* any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices,

* the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function (except for RFC Editor Contributions described below).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this document.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

BMWG Agenda (Any Bashing needed?)

Note-Taker(s), Jabber, IPR, Blue Sheets

1. WG Status Published IETF Last Call status Drafts not presented at this meeting

2. SIP Performance Benchmarking Methodology	Presenter: Al for Carol and Vijay
3. Basic BGP Convergence Benchmarking Methodology status and Dean Lee	Presenter: Ilya Varlashkin
4. Benchmarking Methodology for Content-Aware Network Devices	Presenter: Mike Hamilton
5. IMIX Genome	Presenter: Al
6. RFC 2544 Applicability Statement	Presenter: Al
New Work Proposals:	
<u>Milestones:</u> Time to Re-charter and/or Revise	

BMWG Activity

Working Group Documents:				
Draft name	Rev.	Dated	Status	Comments
Active:				
draft-ietf-bmwg-2544-as	-02	2012-03-12	Active	WGLC
draft-ietf-bmwg-ca-bench-meth	-01	2012-03-12	Active	
draft-ietf-bmwg-imix-genome	-01	2012-01-08	Active	
draft-ietf-bmwg-sip-bench-meth	-04	2012-03-12	Active	
draft-ietf-bmwg-sip-bench-term	-04	2012-03-12	Active	
Recently Expired:				
IESG Processing:				
draft-ietf-bmwg-ipflow-meth	-09	2012-03-12	Active	LAST CALL
draft-ietf-bmwg-protection-meth	-09	2011-10-29	Active	AD-GA

RFC-Editor's Queue: none

BMWG Activity

- New RFC:
- Charter Update
 - Complete 2010
- Supplementary BMWG Page
 - See http://home.comcast.net/~acmacm/BMWG/

BMWG Activity

Related Active Documents (not working group documents):

Dated Status Comments Draft name Rev. draft-hamilton-bmwg-ca-bench-term -00 2011-3 Active/Exp 2011 Active draft-varlashkin-router-conv-bench-00 **REVISED**/Active draft-green-bmwg-seceff-bench-meth-01 draft-player-dcb-benchmarking -03 2010-10 Active ?? draft-papneja-bgp-basic-dp-convergence -02 2011-10 Active draft-manral-bmwg-power-usage-02.txt 2011-1 Quiet LDP – need to resurrect this for charter item ???

Standard "Paragraph" (intro/security)

Benchmarking activities as described in this memo are limited to technology characterization using controlled stimuli in a laboratory environment, with dedicated address space and the constraints specified in the sections above.

The benchmarking network topology will be an independent test setup and MUST NOT be connected to devices that may forward the test traffic into a production network, or misroute traffic to the test management network.

Further, benchmarking is performed on a "black-box" basis, relying solely on measurements observable external to the DUT/SUT.

Special capabilities SHOULD NOT exist in the DUT/SUT specifically for benchmarking purposes. Any implications for network security arising from the DUT/SUT SHOULD be identical in the lab and in production networks.

Work Proposal Summary Matrix

Work Area > Criteria ∨	Power	Security Effective- ness	SW Update Time	Time Sync	
Proposal	Y	Y	Y		
In Scope of Charter? (acm)	Y	Y	?		
Draft(s)	Y	Y	Next step		
Sig. Support at meetings			Supporting discussion IETF-80		
Sig. Support on List	Several comments	Sufficient Review?			
Dependencies/ Notes			Charter		

Current Milestones

- Done Terminology For Protection Benchmarking to AD Review
- Done Networking Device Reset Benchmark (Updates RFC 2544) to IESG Review
- Dec 2010 Methodology For Protection Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Jun 2011 Terminology for SIP Device Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Jun 2011 Methodology for SIP Device Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Jul 2011 Basic BGP Convergence Benchmarking Methodology to IESG Review.
- Feb 2011 Methodology for Flow Export and Collection Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Jun 2011 Methodology for Data Center Bridging Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Dec 2011 Terminology for Content Aware Device Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Dec 2011 Methodology for Content Aware Device Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Dec 2011 Terminology for LDP Convergence Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Dec 2011 Methodology for LDP Convergence Benchmarking to IESG Review