

LWIG guidance document

draft-bormann-lwig-guidance-01

Carsten Bormann <cabo@tzi.org>
IETF83, 2011-03-29

Scope

- **Try** doing one single document
 - “Guidance for Light-Weight Implementations of the Internet Protocol Suite”
 - Could very well “roadmap out” to specific documents
- Implementation guidance only
 - Not developing/changing any protocols or services
 - **“The techniques shall [...] not affect conformance to the relevant specifications.”**
 - Not software engineering best practices
- Guidance:
 - Focus on a limited number of protocols
 - Configuring a protocol for a constrained system
 - What can be left out — what’s the bare minimum?

2: “Constrained”

- Distinguish 2 rough classes of constrained nodes:
 - 10 KiB data/100 KiB code (“quite constrained”)
 - 50 KiB data/250 KiB code (“not so constrained”)
- In each case, make clear which class is being targeted
- (These are a starting point for making sure we discuss from the same requirements, not exact classes.)

Focus protocols

- **The group shall focus only on techniques that have been used in actual implementations [...]**
- **The topics for this working group will be chosen from these protocols: IPv4, IPv6, UDP, TCP, ICMPv4/v6, MLD/IGMP, ND, DNS, DHCPv4/v6, IPsec, 6LOWPAN, and RPL protocols.**

Data Plane protocols

- Application Layer
 - HTTP, CoAP
 - Others? (XMPP, TFTP)
- Transport Layer
 - TCP, UDP
 - Others?
- Network Layer
 - IPv4, IPv6
- Link layer support
 - 6lowpan

3: Data Plane

- 3.1 Link Adaptation Layer
 - 3.1.1: 6LoWPAN fragmentation
- 3.4
 - 3.4.1: CoAP
 - message layer processing, message parsing, storing message IDs

Control Plane protocols

- Application Layer
 - DNS, DHCP, DHCPv6
 - Others? (SIP)
- Transport Layer
 - ?
- Network Layer
 - ICMP, ICMPv6, IGMP/MLD
 - RPL, AODV/DYMO, OLSRv2
- Link Layer support
 - ARP, ND

4: Control Plane

- 4.5.1: SNMP
 - (focusing on the “`strncmp`” style of implementation)

Security protocols

- TLS, ciphersuites, certificates
- IPsec, IKEv2, transforms, ...
- PANA, EAP, EAP methods

5: Security Protocols

- 5.4.1: PANA


“Wire-visible” constraints

- Checksum
- MTU
- Fragmentation and reassembly
- Options — implications of leaving some out
- Simplified TCP optimized for LLNs
- Out-of-order packets

“Wire-invisible” constraints

- Buffering
- Memory management
- Timers
- Energy efficiency
- API
- Data structures
- Table sizes (somewhat wire-visible)
- Improved error handling due to resource overconsumption

Next steps

- Document is still very sparse
- Looking for additional contributions
 - Input from CoAP interop likely
 - DTLS maybe?
- When are we  ready for WG adoption?