

OMA -- IETF MIF API Workshop

Paris

2012-03-27

Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- the IETF plenary session,
- any IETF working group or portion thereof,
- the IESG or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG,
- the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,
- any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices,
- the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

Logistics

- Note taker and jabber scribe
- Meeting materials (Slides, Agenda, etc)
 - <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/mif/agenda>
- XMPP
 - mif@jabber.ietf.org
- Mailing list
 - mif@ietf.org
 - <http://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/mif>

Agenda

- 1) OMA OpenCMAPI activity (Thierry Berisot, OMA)**
- 2) IETF MIF API Design (Ted Lemon, IETF)**
- 3) IETF API related consideration (Dave Thaler, IETF)**
- 4) OMA API Program (Liliana Dinale, OMA)**
- 5) Next step between IETF and OMA (Thierry, Liliana, Margaret, and Hui)**

Purpose

2.1) From IETF,

This workshop will help to explain the MIF API, and clarify what is recommended and not recommended to do next.

Considering either publish a information document or adding word into the current MIF API draft about how to use those MIF API for Internet developer.

2.2) From OMA perspective,

To socialize OMA's published specifications and current ongoing standards activities in the area of APIs.

To make sure that the standards landscape for APIs is coordinated and harmonized.

To solicit feedback about OMA's specification for Authorization4APIs, which is built on IETF OAuth as well as OpenCMAPI.

Future IETF MIF work

- 1) Extend current MIF API to add additional messages for notifications.**
- 2) Write a draft to define requirements for an abstract notifications API.**
- 3) Write an informational draft that provides recommendations about using the MIF API in order to handle interface changes.**