MMUSIC @ IETF 83

Chairs:

Flemming Andreasen

Miguel A. Garcia

Note well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

–   the IETF plenary session,
–   any IETF working group or portion thereof,
–   the IESG or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG,
–   the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,
–   any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices,
–   the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879). Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

Administrativia

- Please sign the blue sheets

- Need help:
  - Jabber scribe
  - Note takers

- When you speak to the mike, please say your name

Agenda (1)

09:00–09:15   Introduction and Status Update (Chairs)

09:15-09:30   Discussion on IPR statements related to 3D and parallax drafts (Discussion facilitated by chairs)

09:30-09:45   Multiplexing Negotiation Using SDP Port Numbers (Christer Holmberg)
draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-00.txt

09:45-10:00   Signalling Media Stream ID in the SDP (Harald Alvestrand)
draft-alvestrand-rtcweb-msid-01.txt

10:00-10:20   An Extension to the Session Description Protocol (SDP) for Media Loopback (Hadriel Kaplan)
draft-ietf-mmusic-media-loopback-17.txt

10:20-10:30   The 'trafficclass' Attribute (James Polk)
draft-ietf-mmusic-traffic-class-for-sdp-01.txt

I E T F®

Agenda (2)

10:30-10:40   SDP Media Capabilities Negotiation (Flemming Andreasen)

draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-media-capabilities-13.txt

10:40-10:50   Latching: Hosted NAT Traversal (HNT) for Media in Real-Time Communication (Emil Ivov)

draft-kaplan-mmusic-latching-00.txt

10:50-11:00   Multipath RTP (MPRTP) (Varun Singh)

draft-singh-avtcore-mprtp-04.txt

11:00-11:10   Update on Candidate Address Selection for ICE (Ari Keranen)

draft-keranen-mmusic-ice-address-selection-00.txt

I E T F ®

Agenda (3)

11:10-11:25   Other Drafts (Chairs/authors)

                  RTSP Extension for Substream Control (Peiyu Yue)
                  draft-yue-mmusic-rtsp-substream-control-extension-01.txt

                  Security Descriptions Extension for Media Streams (Sujing Zhou)
                  draft-zhou-mmusic-sdes-keymod-00.txt

11:25-11:30   Wrap up

WG Status (1)

- Published RFCs:
  - RFC 6544: TCP Candidates with Interactive Connectivity Establishment (ICE)

- In RFC Editor:
  - <none>
  -

- In IESG evaluation:
  - <none>
  -

- Publication requested:
  - <none>

- WGLC completed, pending of new versions:
  - <none>

WG status (2)

– Progress of other work items (those not being discussed later):

- RFC 4566bis, draft-ietf-mmusic-rfc4566bis-05
  - Done, should keep it open or should we ship it?

- RTSP 2.0, draft-ietf-mmusic-rfc2326bis-29
  - WGLC passed of versions:
    - - 22 (Sept 2009)
    - - 23 (March 2010)
  - We will issue a WGLC on version -29 right after the meeting, with focus on the changes since -27

- RTSP NAT traversal, draft-ietf-mmusic-rtsp-nat-11 and RTSP NAT evaluation draft-ietf-mmusic-rtsp-nat-evaluation-04
  - Mostly done, should go to WGLC right after RTSP 2.0.

- SCTP media in SDP, draft-ietf-mmusic-sctp-sdp-01
  - Done. Should go to WGLC soon.

WG status (3)

- CS descriptions in SDP, draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-cs-10
  - One issue to solve. One more planned version, and should go to WGLC.

- Miscellaneous Capability Negotiation,
  draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-miscellaneous-caps-00
  - Just submitted as WG item this morning. The document is considered to be done.

- Media Path Middleboxes, draft-ietf-mmusic-media-path-middleboxes-04
  - Do we want to keep the latching text in here or refer to the latching draft?
  - Other than that, the document is done

- SDP Parallax attribute, draft-ietf-mmusic-parallax-attribute-00 and SDP 3D format, draft-ietf-mmusic-signal-3d-format-00
  - IPR of both drafts disclosed after adoption as WG items
  - A bit more discussion after the WG status.

- Special mention: Media level ice-options SDP attribute
  draft-petithuguenin-mmusic-ice-attributes-level-03.txt
  - Do we want to pursue a correction to ICE?

SDP directorate

- The SDP directorate is already up and running:
  - http://www.ietf.org/iesg/directorate/sdp.html

- Already reviewed one document, another one in the pipe.

- Current members include the MMUSIC co-chairs and:
  - Ali Begen
  - Christer Holmberg
  - Dan Wing
  - Paul Kyzivat
  - Adam Roach
  - Jonathan Lennox

- A big thanks to all of them for volunteering.

IPR disclosures (1)

- IPR statements submitted to two WG items drafts:
  - draft-ietf-mmusic-signal-3d-format-00 had a Huawei IPR declaration 1673:

    http://datatracker.ietf.org/ipr/1673/

    which points to the following patent application:
    http://ip.com/sipoen/102137298

  - draft-ietf-mmusic-parallax-attribute-00 had a Huawei IPR declaration 1674:
    - IPR statements submitted to two WG items drafts:

    which points to the following patent application:

    _____   had a Huawei IPR declaration

IPR Disclosures (2)

- Kindly reminder:
  - According to the Section 6.2.1 of RFC 3979:

    ```
    The IPR disclosure required pursuant to section 6.1.1
    must be made as soon as reasonably possible after the
    Contribution is published in an Internet Draft unless
    the required disclosure is already on file.
    ```

- With this data in mind, we need to re-evaluate the direction that MMUSIC wants to take with respect to these two drafts, now that we
  - Kindly reminder: