Extensions to the Path Computation Element Communication Protocol for Enhanced Errors and Notifications

draft-pouyllau-pce-enhanced-errors-03

H. Pouyllau R. Theillaud J. Meuric Motivation and proposal

Changes in -03

Conclusion

PCErr and PCNtf

PCErr and PCNtf

Some error and notification types/values are standardized No common rules

codes and specify associated behaviors is a

need for:

- Enhancing PCE functionalities
- Notificatingsthan doorshid a triopaatricular Purchigate Provide policing, discovery, etc.)
- Improving the coordination among PCE systems

Examples

Anticipating future evolutions of the standard Examinates propagation of errors or notifications to PCEs involved in a path Proposal

Standardize error and notification attributes

Standardize error and notification attributes Allows specifying the criticality of errors and the type of notifications (request-specific or not)

Allow specifying the propagation behavior

Reat<u>riction mechaoispect</u>: to limit the number of PCEP peers that will object: to limit the number of PCEP peers that will

redursively receive the message to indicate the PCEP peer addresses or (DLO): to indicate the PCEP peer addresses or domains of PCEP peers the message must be propagate to and to exclude

some domains or PCEs; if a PCEP peer keeps track of the messages it has : if a PCEP peer keeps track of the messages it has relayed, it could avoid propagating several times the same error/ notification to the same peers. Motivation and proposal

Changes in -03

1) Error type is more related to a family of errors, in the dreathstoin dicest eath kinds on pypeessing and patisible loption (peapageftild k, sshattherwth a ettypes for each possible option

(propagation, shutdown, etc.)

3 new TLVs defined

2) A warning can be raised either as an error or as a

nottilforevertially possible combinations with restrictions

Allows all possible combinations with restrictions

• 3)DLO

A Extabelianga PC Envariants is to can gest tride To call to compare the the the manner of the IRO) can be used for that

AS it belongs to that it is congested. The DLO object (in

Propagation TLV:

- 1: the message MUST be propagated
- Error-criticality TLV:
- 0: low-level, further messages can be expected for this request
 1: medium-level, identifiers appear MUST be cancelled , no further message

can be expected for these requests

- Notification type TLV:
- 0: request-specific

Changes in -03

Conclusion

behavering PCEP to generalize error and notification

existing another through the statistic frame work for

Impacts on existing RFCs have been listed

WG approval as a WG document

WG approval as a WG document