#### SIP over Websockets

draft-ibc-sipcore-sip-websocket-01

IETF 83
SIPCORE WG
Paris, France - March 2012

Iñaki Baz Castillo José Luis Millán Victor Pascual

# History

- draft-ibc-rtcweb-sip-websocket-00
  - defines the WS transport for SIP
  - rtcweb not meant to standardize a signaling protocol
- draft-ibc-sipcore-sip-websocket-00
  - WS transport applicability not limited to web browsers
- draft-ibc-sipcore-sip-websocket-01 (current draft)
  - three technical reviews
  - so far all reviews and comments support this work
  - main discussion around outbound applicability
- At least two known implementations
  - e.g. <a href="http://sip-on-the-web.aliax.net/">http://sip-on-the-web.aliax.net/</a>
  - and some other in the roadmap

# Scope

- Define behavior for a main use case
  - WS transport between a UA (one that cannot listen for inbound WS connections) and its first hop into the network

- Unforeseen circumstances should be allowed
  - that's where innovation is born
  - explicitly call out in the document that we don't currently define behavior for
    - inter-intermediary websockets connections
    - clients with the ability to listen for inbound connections
  - future documents may choose to do so

### **Problem Statement**

- WS clients do not listen for incoming connections
  - it's the WS client that needs to open and maintain the WS connection
  - the WS server shall use an existing WS connection towards the client
- This is identical to the case where a SIP UA uses
   TCP behind a NAT, to communicate with its proxy
  - the SIP UA cannot receive incoming connections
  - communication with it must occur over the connection it opened to the SIP server
- This is not a new problem and we have solutions in place

## Solution space

- Option 1: normative language
  - define the behavior for WS transport between a UA (one that cannot listen for connections) and its first hop into the network: both MUST use outbound
- Option 2: non-normative language
  - refer to Outbound as the preferred mechanism,
     but there might be other alternatives
- Option 3: do nothing
  - do not mention the issue at all

## Next Steps

 Address comments from the technical reviews (along with editorial improvements) and submit a new version

Call for WG adoption