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## Agenda

- Draft update - Applicability statement
- Implementation status
- Outstanding issues


## Applicability Statement

- Type of Applications (latency sensitive, long RTT, transactional, requests fit in SYN packet...)
- Long lived connection (e.g., P-HTTP)
- UDP as an alternative
- Temporal sharing of TCB (RFC2140)


## Current Status

- Draft accepted as a WG document
- Goal: published as Experimental RFC $\sim$ Sep 2012
- Initial implementation on Linux completed and is going through internal code review
- Internal deployment planned
- Submission for upstream review soon


## Implementation Details

- ~1500 line of code additions/changes (much reduced from original > 2000 lines)
- Use experimental option number 254 per draft-ietf-tcpm-experimental-options-00 with a 16bit magic number
- Leave room for middleboxes that may add (e.g., TCP options) to SYN packets


## Mobile Client IP Address not Sticky

- Due to cellular carriers' peculiar NAT settings that do not preserve client's external IP address across different TCP sessions
- Violating RFC5382's Endpoint-Independent Mapping requirement (NAT should at least preserve the external IP address, if not the port\#)
- Defeats any IP address based identification/ authentication schemes (including TFO cookies)
- When will HIP be available?
- Is it safe to make TFO cookie optional?


## Data After SYN

- Request size growing
- Some no long fit in one packet
- Will it ever be feasible to support data-afterSYN?
- Is data pkt w/o the ACK bit even a legit TCP pkt?

