Laminar TCP

draft-mathis-tcpm-laminar-tcp-00

Matt Mathis mattmathis@google.com

TCPM, IETF-83 Mar 30, 2011

cwnd and ssthresh are overloaded

cwnd carries both long term and short term state

 Long term state sometimes gets saved in ssthresh

 ssthresh carries queue size estimate and (temp) cwnd
 Poorly defined interactions between:

 Application stalls and congestion control
 Application stalls and loss recovery
 Reordering and congestion avoidance
 Other unanticipated concurrent events
 ...

Laminar: Two separate subsystems

• Pure congestion control

- New state variable: CCwin
- \circ Target quantity of data to be sent during each RTT
- Carries state between successive RTTs
- \circ Not concerned with timing details, bursts etc
- Transmission scheduling
 - Packet conservation self clock (mostly)
 - Primary state is implicit, computed on every ACK
 - Variables: pipe (3517), total_pipe and DeliveredData
 - \circ Controls exactly when to transmit
 - \circ Tries to follow CCwin
 - \circ Little or no explicit long term state
 - \circ Includes slowstart, burst suppression, (future) pacing

Variables

- CCwin: (Target) Congestion Control window
- pipe: From 3517, data which has been sent but not ACKed or SACKed
- DeliveredData: Quantity of newly delivered data reported by this ACK (see PRR)
- total_pipe = pipe+DeliveredData+SndBank; This is all circulating data
- SndCnt: permission to send computed from the current ACK Note that the above 4 are recomputed on every ACK
 - SndBank: accumulated SndCnt to permit TSO etc

Default (Reno) Congestion Control

On startup: CCwin = MAX_WIN

On ACK if not application limited: CCwin += MSS*MSS/CCwin

// in Bytes

On congestion: if CCwin == MAX_WIN CCwin = total_pipe/2 // Fraction depends on delayed ACK and ABC CCwin = CCwin/2

Except on first loss, CCwin does not depend on pipe!

Default transmission scheduling

SndBank += sndcnt while (SndBank && TSO_ok()) SndBank -= transmitData()

Algorithm updates

• Draft describes default Laminar versions of:

- Congestion Avoidance (Reno)
- Restart after idle
- Congestion Window Validation
- Pacing (generic)
- RTO and F-RTO
- Undo (generic)
- Control Block Interdependence
- Non-SACK TCP

• However there are many opportunities for improvement

Technical summary

Today cwnd does both CC and transmission scheduling
 Which are often in conflict

- Every algorithm has to avoid compromising other uses
- Many pairs of functions interact poorly:
 - \circ Congestion control and loss recovery
 - \circ Application stalls and loss recovery
 - \circ Pacing and CC
 - CC and restart after idle
 - ∘ etc
- Laminar separates CC and transmission scheduling
 - \circ They become independent
 - Can evolve separately
 - No "cross subsystem" interactions

TCPM Issues

Laminar removes ssthresh and cwnd

Updates or obsoletes approximately 60 RFC's
Interim plan: organize draft parallel to existing docs

Most algorithm changes are straight forward

TCPM style standards (re)design
A few details have no precedent or otherwise call for significant redesign: Move to ICCRG?

At what level (time?) does TCPM want to get involved?

Best if original authors redesign their own algorithms

Backup Slides

Fluid model Congestion Control

On every ACK: // Including during recovery CCwin += MAX(DeliveredData, ABClimit)*MSS/CCwin On retransmission:

```
oldCC = CCwin

if (CCwin == MAX_WIN):

CCwin = initialCCestimate(total_pipe)

CCwin = CCwin/2

undoDelta = oldCC - CCwin

Undo:

CCwin = MIN(CCwin+undoDelta, MAX_WIN)

undoDelta = 0
```

Insensitive to reordering and spurious retransmissions!