



Wireline: Incremental IPv6 draft-ietf-v6ops-wireline-incremental-ipv6-01

Victor Kuarsingh, Rogers Communications Inc

Short History

- Individual Submission July 2011
- Accepted as WG Document in IETF 82 Taipei
- Posted as WG Document November 2011
- Updated February 2012 based on comments on list
- Initial feedback on
 - inclusion/exclusion of NAT64 (and related technologies)
 - Focus on IPv6 and less focus on IPv4 (per discussions at IETF82)



Document Changes

- Changes made moving to version -00
 - Text updates and edits
 - New Sections Added
 - Updated References
- Changes made moving to version -01
 - Refocus document to concentrate more on IPv6 deployment (less emphasis on IPv4 continuance)
 - Phase 3 now called "IPv6-Only" vs "IPv4 Tunneled"
 - Text updates (spelling, typos, awkward text)



Transition Phases

- Phase 0
 - Foundational Items (routing, policy, security, transition architecture)
 - Preparation for IPv6 Network
- Phase I (Tunneling)
 - Managed/Assist Auto-Tunneling (6to4, Teredo)
 - Introduce 6RD as early option
 - Most tools on IPv4, main capabilities (content to be added)
- Phase 2 (Native Dual Stack)
 - Mature IPv6 environment, add in CGN if needed
 - Mature IPv6 tools, capabilities, operational proceeds
- Phase 3 (IPv6 Only)
 - ▶ IPv6 now mature, services on IPv6 now (for the most part)
 - Utilize IPv4 tunneling and/or translation if required



Next Steps / WG Feedback requirements

- Document -02 planned with additional considerations information
 - New content being suggested, will circulate with WG
 - Other WG input welcome (text, suggestions and/or references)
 - Add "for further reading sections" to consolidate included and other important references
- Need to agree on the following:
 - Do we include NAT64 and/ or draft-ietf-v6ops-464xlat-01 in Phase 3 discussion/information
 - Are there other technologies which should be considered / and / or references needed?
 - ▶ Is IPv6 focus correct/agreed to (so far on list yes)



Following -02

- ▶ Following -02 (based on input) should we
 - Look for WGLC?
 - Are there structural issues in document that need to be addressed or;
 - Should more/less information be included

