

GMPLS Signaling Extensions for the Evolving G.709 OTN Control

CCAMP WG, IETF 84th, Vancouver, BC, Canada

draft-ietf-ccamp-gmpls-signaling-g709v3-03

Authors & Contributors

Changes from Version 02

- Added some new G-PID values and updated some existing G-PID values
 - GPID is used to signal TSG information (TSG information has been removed from TLV and moved to new GPID values)
- Refined the extension to indicate the required client ODU multiplexing hierarchy
 - Extending LSPA to carry hierarchy information (ie., original Type=2 - Hierarchy TLV)
 - Removed Mapping field based on the conf call discussion.
 - Removed Switching Type and Encoding Type fields

G-PID Value Extension

- Extended G-PID for G.709 ODU client signals:

Value	G-PID Type	TSG (LO ODU into requested LSP)
-----	-----	-----
47	G.709 ODU	2.5Gbps [RFC4328]
59 (TBA)	G.709 ODU-1.25G	1.25Gbps (new)
60 (TBA)	G.709 ODU-any	either 1.25 or 2.5Gbps (new)

- Added other new G-PID values for new client signals supported by G.709V3

Value	G-PID Type
-----	-----
61 (TBA)	CBRc (via GMP)
62 (TBA)	1000BASE-X
63 (TBA)	FC-1200

- Updated some existing G-PID description to support new 1.25G, 100G, supra-2.488G client signals, such as **32** for ATM, **49** for asynchronous CBR , **50** for synchronous CBR , **51** for BSOT, **52** for BSNT.

Discussion: Conclusion

- No need to have hierarchy info if non-homogeneous component links MUST not be bundled (as explained in the previous slide)
 - Using the existing generic approach (ie., using ERO to indicate the last hop TE link)
- Even though it is a problem in some corner case, it should be treated as a generic MLN issue
 - “ODU1 -> ODU2 -> ODU3 “ is similar to “ETH -> SDH -> OTN”
 - Crank-back could help
 - The generic MLN issue will be solved in dedicated MLN extensions
- Conclusion: **Hierarchy info is not necessary.**

Next Steps

- Remove ODU Multiplexing Hierarchy in Section 7
- Ready for LC after removing Hierarchy info quickly?