

PROXY-FEATURE: WGLC Comments

(draft-ietf-sipcore-proxy-feature)

Christer Holmberg, Ericsson
Ivo Sedlacek, Ericsson
Hadriel Kaplan, ACME Packet

IETF#84, Vancouver

Feature capability indication name

Instead of calling the capability indications "feature caps", we should call them **"feature capability indicators"**.

PROPOSAL

- **Replace "feature cap" with "feature capability indicator"**
- Header field name unchanged
- ABNF unchanged
- Change does not impact what is sent on the wire

IANA SIP header field parameter table

As the feature-cap in the ABNF is defined as a header field parameter, should it have some representation in the IANA SIP header field parameter table?

Feature-Cap:*;+g.myfeature

Feature-Cap:*;+g.yourfeature=x

PROPOSAL

IANA SIP header field parameter table addition:

Header Field	Parameter Name	Predefined Values	Reference
Feature-Caps	<feature-cap>*	No	[xxx]

*<feature-cap> denotes parameter names conforming to the syntax<feature-cap> defined in [xxx]. Valid feature-cap are registered in [reference to the new feature-cap registries].

THANK YOU!