IW10 WGLC draft-ietf-tcpm-initcwnd-04.txt

J. Chu, N. Dukkipati, Y. Cheng, M. Mathis hkchu, nanditad, ycheng, mattmathis@google.com

Feedback on the -03 draft

- Make the Experimental status more clear
 - IW10 draft is not replacing RFC3390
 - More experiments are needed to further validate
 IW10
- Abstract and Introduction sections have been revised accordingly
- Need a fallback mechanism if IW10 is too much

Feedback on the -03 draft (cont')

- Revised section 12 "Usage and Deployment Recommendations"
 - Better spell out performance metrics to monitor
 - sender SHOULD cache metrics and falls back to RFC3390 if there is evidence of performance issues from IW10
- Difficult to get more specific
 - without introducing complexity
 - No experience in how to choose thresholds