



ALTO WG

IETF 85

Wednesday, November 7, 2012

Jabber: alto@jabber.ietf.org



Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- the IETF plenary session,
- any IETF working group or portion thereof,
- the IESG or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG,
- the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,
- any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself,
any working group or design team list, or any other
list functioning under IETF auspices,
- the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.



Agenda

14:40	Administrivia/Status of the WG	(Chairs, 5')
14:45	ALTO Protocol post AD review	(Martin Stiemerling, 15')
15:00	Server Discovery Protocol	(Sebastian Kiesel, 10')
15:10	Third-party Server Discovery	(Sebastian Kiesel, 10')
15:20	Cost Schedule	(Sabine Randriamasy, 5')
15:25	Multi-cost ALTO	(Sabine Randriamasy, 5')
15:30	ALTO and DECADE Integration	(Danhua Wang, 10")



Status of the WG

- Requirements passed AUTH48
- ALTO Protocol on its way to IESG
- 2nd Interop Event held on Monday night
- Server Discovery ready to go (?)

2nd ALTO Interoperability



4 servers, 2 clients, 21 test cases,
and a whole lot of fun!
[draft-gurbani-alto-interop-cases-02](#)

(*) Some test cases in failed due to absence of map-vtag. Some failed because server not updated to -13.

Issues:

- map-vtag not optional if PIDs returned in responses.
- Some hints to tools (nc) that are not rfc2616 compliant (but this will NOT be in the ALTO protocol RFC-to-be, but rather in [draft-gurbani-alto-interop-cases](#)).

Pairings	Interop result (percentage of test cases passed)
C1-S1	100%
C1-S2	90%
C1-S3	90%
C1-S4	100%
C2-S1	100%
C2-S2	100%
C2-S3	81% (*)
C2-S4	52% (*)