

# DMARC: Domain-based Message Authentication, Reporting and Conformance

Tim Draegen

<tim@eudaemon.net>

# The Problem With Email

## Email Abuse

- Email is consistently a factor in online abuse.
- Determining authenticity of email is difficult.
- Email is ubiquitous – *changing it is really hard.*

## DMARC.ORG

- Coalition of ~17 companies/organizations.
- Goal to reduce abuse by solving several long-standing issues related to email authentication protocols.
- Draft specification, resources, FAQ, mailing list all on **DMARC.ORG**
- Building on existing tech (SPF and DKIM) whenever possible, only inventing when necessary, informed by in-production experience.

# Lessons Learned from SPF & DKIM

- No consistency to how DKIM and SPF are deployed.
  - Receivers used whatever was deployed/available.
  - Senders tried hard to check the box.
- Receivers couldn't rely on accuracy of Sender's auth.
  - As rule, Senders failed to cover all email, significantly reducing utility.
- Senders had no visibility into email domains usage.
  - Impossible to discover usage through auditing process.
- ROI for “email authentication” didn't add up.

# What DMARC Brings

- **Overlay** – SPF and DKIM used as authentication mechanisms:
  - Header-From: domain used to link SPF and DKIM to email
  - Consistency on how to deploy SPF and DKIM
- **Feedback** – Domain owners get access to what Receivers see:
  - Domain owners can quickly/accurately cover legit email w/ auth.
- **Policy** – Domain owners declare how to process failing email:
  - Specifies DNS-based model that incorporates SPF + DKIM results

## SPF

- *Path-based* (RFC 4408)
- Authorized servers published via simple DNS record
- Very low deployment cost
- Forwarding breaks SPF

*Is the messenger (server) permitted?*

## DKIM

- *Signature-based* (RFC 6376)
- Requires cryptographic operation by email gateways
- Public keys published via DNS
- Can survive forwarding

*Is the signature authentic?*

# DMARC meets “Lessons Learned”

- ~~No~~ Consistency to how DKIM and SPF are deployed.
  - ~~Receivers used whatever was deployed/available.~~
  - ~~Senders tried hard to check the box.~~
- Receivers **can** rely on accuracy of Sender’s auth.
  - ~~As rule, Senders failed to cover all email, significantly reducing utility.~~
- Senders **have** ~~no~~ visibility into email domains usage.
  - **Possible** to discover usage through auditing process.
- ROI for “email authentication” **adds up.**

# DMARC Today & Future

- Works today:
  - E.g.: One participant sees ~600,000,000 rejected abusive emails annually due to DMARC-based controls.
- In the Future:
  - DMARC.ORG goal is to submit draft specification to IETF so that it may begin the process of becoming an official Internet Standard RFC – available to everyone for reference, implementation, and improvement.
- All Info @ DMARC.ORG