DIME WG
IETF 85

DIME WG Agenda & Status



Monday, November 5th, 2012

Jouni Korhonen, Lionel Morand

Note Well

- Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF
- Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is
- considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions,
- as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are
- addressed to:
  - the IETF plenary session,
  - any IETF working group, BOF or portion thereof,
  - the IESG or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG,
  - the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,
  - any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself,
  - any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices,
  - the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function
- All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 3978 (updated by RFC 4748) and RFC 3979(updated by RFC 4879).
- Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice.
- Please consult RFC 3978 (and RFC 4748) for details.
- A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.
- A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

Intellectual Property



- When starting a presentation you MUST say if:
  - There is IPR associated with your draft
  - The restrictions listed in section 5 of RFC 3978/4748 apply to your draft
- When asking questions or commenting on a draft:
  - You MUST disclose any IPR you know of relating to the technology under discussion
- References
  - RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879)
  - “Note well” text

Agenda



- Agenda Bashing (chairs, 5min)
- WG Status Update (chairs, 10min)
- WG Draft presentation
  - draft-ietf-dime-realm-based-redirect (Tom, 5min)
  - draft-ietf-dime-overload-reqs (Eric, 15min)
- Diameter Overload Control
  - draft-roach-dime-overload-ctrl-01 (Adam, 30 min)
  - draft-korhonen-dime-ovl (Jouni, 20 min)
  - Discussion (All, 15 min)
- Diameter E2E Security
  - draft-korhonen-dime-e2e-security (Jouni, 15 min)
  - Discussion (All, 10 min)

Empty RFC-Editor's Queue!



- draft-ietf-dime-rfc3588bis-34
  - → RFC 6733
- draft-ietf-dime-local-keytran-14
  - → RFC 6734
- draft-ietf-dime-priority-avps-06
  - → RFC 6735
- draft-ietf-dime-nat-control-17
  - → RFC 6736
- draft-ietf-dime-capablities-update-07
  - → RFC 6737
- draft-ietf-dime-ikev2-psk-diameter-11
  - → RFC 6738

Documents in WG process



- draft-ietf-dime-realm-based-redirect
  - next step: proto write-up
- draft-ietf-dime-erp
  - in IESG Evaluation
- draft-ietf-dime-app-design-guide
  - next step: proto write-up
- draft-ietf-dime-group-signaling
  - Need to check/verify the editorship
- draft-ietf-dime-rfc4005bis
  - Waiting for AD Go-Ahead after GEN-ART/App Area reviews

RFC 4005-bis issue



- Issue raised after Gen-ART review
  - Check of UTF8String use in this draft
  - most of them are likely to need some attention
  - no Unicode considerations
- Review from *precis WG* guys required?