HTTP Auth Wants/Needs

- My customers want/need:
 - Their choice of authentication mechanism
 - Privilege separation, particularly on the server side
 - Ease of/widespread implementation
 - HTTP router support: server that terminates HTTP(S) connection may forward request to another server
- TLS is here to stay, of course

Implications

- Mechanisms with more than one pair of messages
 - Nor like DIGEST-MD5, with first msg being a challenge in a 404
- Can't have just one mechanism

OK, how?

- Out-of-band: bridge authen. mechs:
 - Kerberos \rightarrow whatever bearer token scheme
 - Kerberos \rightarrow user certs (SACRED, browserid)
 - ...
- Use mech in-band:
 - Like DIGEST-MD5 or Basic
 - Need to map stateful authen. exchanges onto stateless HTTP
- My proposal: in-band, "over" HTTP

RESTauth

- A pattern for authentication
- Authen. msgs POSTed, first to login URI, then to session URI
- Don't specify use of SASL, GSS, EAP
- Binding requests to sessions:
 - Cookies, or cookie-like are a weak option
 - MAC with shared session key (associated with session URI) of TLS channel binding (i.e., the server cert.)

RESTauth and the web

- JS XHR extensions / utilities
- HTML element
- Credential manager in browser chrome
 - Script/page element, or HTTP 401 trigger credential manager UI invocation (UI spoofing is a separate problem)
- Scripts/pages must not get access to raw credentials, or even list user IDs

That's it.

 It may well be that bridging is the only thing that will see the light of day. I'm OK with that, though it's not my first preference.