


Advanced Stream and Sampling Framework for IPPM

draft-morton-ippm-2330-update-00

Joachim Fabini and Al Morton

Status & Motivation

- Networks have evolved
 - RFC 2330 assumes linear network behavior (“wire“)
 - Smart networks: Measurement results depend to a large extent on measurement stream (on-demand allocation)
 - RFC 2330 **metric and methodology properties** are a useful theoretical instrument - limited in real life now (repeatability)
 - Network-internal **flow state** at layers below IP
- Proposal: Update 2330




Scope of Advanced Framework

- Describe useful additional stream parameters
 - Restore repeatable measurements in modern networks
- Aspects
 - 1. Network treatment depends on Type-P (concept ext.)
 - 2. Packet history influences network/results
 - 3. Access technology may change during session
 - 4. Time-slotted service time in network paths

Measurement Methodology & Setup


- End-to-end ICMP round-trip delay measurements
- Initiated by UE (mobile client), reflected by server
- Client and server synchronous with global time (PPS, $\sim 10\mu\text{s}$).
- Randomness in space and time
 - Packets having random payload size are sent out at random start times



1. Expand elements of Type-P


2. Packet History Influence

- Test packet length
- Content optimization
- Flow state: multi-modal distributions





3. Access Technology Change (App-transparent)

- Applications might not detect changes
- Overlaid
- Mobile measurements (LMAP)
- Representativeness?



4. Time-slotted Networks

- First time-slotted segment cancels randomness
- Biased samples lead to multi-modal delay distributions



IPPM Feedback on the list

- Matt Mathis
 - Add “actionable” metrics
 - Pre-test load – special aspect of “packet history”?
- Rüdiger Geib, Matt Mathis
 - Characterization of special treatments
 - Traffic shaping
 - Flow suppression
 - Add as subtopic under Test Packet Type-P
 - Define “reactive network behavior”
 - Discussion of test traffic preferences in the wild

Goals – Next Steps

- Metric & Methodology **properties**:
 - Improve **Repeatability, Continuity, Extensibility**
 - Can/should we formalize these properties?
 - Assess “Quality of Measurement” to evaluate if properties are satisfied for two measurement sample sets?
 - Aim: find minimum set of parameters such that measurements have one or several of the above-mentioned properties.
- Classification: methodology-invariant metrics?

Summary Status and Discussion

- Detailed discussion on the mailing list
- Support to do the work
- Adopt as a working group item?