

Managed Incident Lightweight Exchange (MILE) WG

Kathleen Moriarty and Brian Trammell, Chairs
IETF 85 – Atlanta – 6 November 2012

Note Well

<http://www.ietf.org/about/note-well.html>

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session

- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG

- Any IETF mailing list**, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices

- Any IETF working group or portion thereof**

- Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session

- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB

- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879). Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details. A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements. A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

Agenda

- Agenda bashing
- CFP: IODEF-bis / IODEF-Guidance survey
- WG Status, Charter and Milestone review
- Active WG Document (20 min)
 - T. Takahashi: draft-ietf-mile-sci
- Individual Drafts (40 min)
 - A. Montville: draft-montville-mile-enum-reference-format
 - J. Field: draft-field-mile-rolie
- Any Other Business

Call for Participation

- Survey of existing IODEF/RID implementations/deployments; e.g.
 - What use cases work best for you with IODEF?
 - What tools do you use with IODEF?
 - Are there any challenges you face with IODEF?
 - What do you see as barrier(s) to adoption?
- Input to 5070-bis and IODEF Guidance
- Contact: Omar Santos <osantos@cisco.com>, Panos Kampanakis <pkampana@cisco.com>, Rossella Mattioli <rossella.mattioli@gmail.com>

Milestones: SCI

- Dec '11: WGGLC, Feb '12: IESG
 - draft-ietf-mile-sci: IPR discussion, IANA registry: new date?
 - draft-montville-mile-enum-reference-format handles by-reference: adopt?

Milestones: IODEF Guidance

- Mar '12: WGGLC, Apr '12: IESG
 - No document yet
 - Basis on survey of implementation/
operational deployment (see CFP)
 - Shift milestones

Milestones: GRC Exchange

- **May '12: WGGLC, Jun '12: IESG**
 - -01 WG document, work ongoing
 - Shift milestones

Milestones:

Data policy markers

- **Apr '12: IESG**
 - Expired -00 individual document
 - Need new document?
 - Shift milestone (+add WGLC) or drop?

Milestones: Forensics

- Jun '12: WGGLC, Jul '12: IESG
 - -01 individual document
 - Need a new rev before adoption.
 - Shift milestone or drop?

Non-chartered Work

- 5070-bis:
 - ed. Roman Danyliw <rdd@cert.org>, Paul Stoeker <Paul.Stoeker@rsa.com>
 - Individual to be submitted after Atlanta
- Individual drafts presented today
 - draft-montville-mile-enum-reference-format
 - draft-field-mile-rolie