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## Goals

- Test clarity of RFCs on stds track - Document where changes might be needed
- List items for possible implementation guidelines
- Find out what is implemented
- RFCs base/mandatory
- Capabilities
- YANG modules
- Debugging
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## Participants

- 5 NetConf Servers
- YumaWorks netconfd
- YumaWorks netconfd-pro
- CESNET libnetconf server
- Juniper SRX240 server
- Tail-f ConfD server


## Participants

- 10 NetConf clients
- YumaWorks 2 clients
- MG-SOFT NetConf Browser
- CESNET libnetconf client
- Juniper client (test suite)
- Tail-f 3 clients
- Jacobs University ncclient
- SegueSoft netconf browser


# Good Interoperability 

- RFC6421 and RFC6242
- SSH framing for 1.0 and 1.1
- Base 1.0 and base 1.1
- All 1.0 and 1.1 capabilities
- RFCs 5717, 5277, 6243
- partial lock, notifications,
- with-defaults
- RFCs 6022 and 6470
- Monitoring and notifications
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## Follow up needed

- RFC 6536 (ACM)
- Some more testing needed
- RFC 6020 (YANG)
- YANG modules have normative reference to RFC6020
- We may need to ask for downref exception if we want to advance - (Minor) clarifications needed - Some implementation guidelines may be useful.


## Advance RFCs

Seems we can advance base set of Netconf Protocol Documents:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -6241,6242,5717,5277,6243 \\
& -\quad 6536 ?
\end{aligned}
$$

We need to add deployment reports for that, participants are working on that.

Does WG want to go for that?

## Thanks and Q\&A

## Thanks to IETF for providing a room and network connectivity.

Thanks to participants for their efforts and open and constructive testing

## Questions?

