



# PAWS

Protocol to Access White Space DB

IETF 85, Atlanta

Gabor Bajko, Brian Rosen



# Note Well

## The brief summary:

- **This summary is only meant to point you in the right direction, and doesn't have all the nuances. The IETF's IPR Policy is set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.**
- **By participating with the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes.**
- **If you are aware that a contribution of yours (something you write, say, or discuss in any IETF context) is covered by patents or patent applications, you need to disclose that fact.**
- **You understand that meetings might be recorded and broadcast.**
- 
- For further information:
- Talk to a chair, ask an Area Director, or review [BCP 9](#) (on the Internet Standards Process), [BCP 25](#) (on the Working Group processes), [BCP 78](#) (on the IETF Trust) , and [BCP 79](#) (on Intellectual Property Rights in the IETF).

# Agenda

- Administrivia (5 min) Blue sheets, minutes taker, jabber proxy, jabber scribe?
- Note Well
- Agenda bashing
- WG doc status (20 min)
  - open issues with the Use Cases and Requirements document
  - summary on the agreements regarding the solution document
- <http://www.ietf.org/id/draft-vchen-paws-protocol-00.txt> (Vince, 60min)
- time permitting: <http://www.ietf.org/id/draft-wu-paws-security-00.txt> (Yang, 20 min)

# WG Doc status -1-

I-D: Problem statement, use cases and requirements

<http://www.ietf.org/id/draft-ietf-paws-problem-stmt-usecases-rqmts-08.txt>

received AD comments which were not addressed by the WG yet

- Use case 1 and use case 2: partial overlap, architecture same, messaging slightly different(?) eg, reg and validation req in one, not req in the other (text doesn't capture these!)
- Lots of mostly editorial work: Some redundant text to be removed; Reformulations required in quite many places; Etc.
- Need an editor to take care of these quickly, any volunteers?

# WG Doc status -2-

The non-controversial parts of the two individual submissions <http://www.ietf.org/id/draft-das-paws-protocol-02.txt> and <http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-wei-paws-framework-00> have been merged into:

<http://www.ietf.org/id/draft-vchen-paws-protocol-00.txt>

Agreed on the list: existence of INIT messages, JSON encoding, no shared secret based authentication

Chair asked if there are objections to adopt as a wg document.  
No objections received.

# Next steps

- DB Discovery
  - Any volunteers to write that up?