# Additional Objective Functions and Metric Types in PCEP

## draft-ali-pce-additional-of-and-metric-00.txt

**Author list:**

Zafar Ali (zali@cisco.com)

George Swallow (swallow@cisco.com)

Clarence Filsfils (cfilsfil@cisco.com)

Siva Sivabalan (msiva@cisco.com)

Stefano Previdi (sprevidi@cisco.com)

Kenji Kumaki (ke-kumaki@kddi.com)

# Outline

- **Requirements**

- **Solution**

- **Next Steps**

# Requirements

- **Network performance criteria (e.g. latency) are becoming as critical to path selection as other TE metrics (e.g., in financial networks).**

- **Selection of a path that minimizes end-to-end latency and/or end-to-end latency variation is required.**

- **Even if paths are computed to minimize some other TE metric, it is often required to specify an acceptable latency and/ or latency variation bound as a constraint.**

# Solution Background

- **The METRIC object is defined in RFC5440.**

- **RFC5440, RFC5541 and RFC6006 define various Metric Types.**

- **RFC5541 extends the PCEP to include Objective Functions.**

- **RFC5541 and RFC6006 defines various Objective Functions supported by PCEP.**

# Solution

- **Solution is simple; define new metric types and objective functions for latency and latency variation metrics.**

- **New Metric Object Types**

  - ➤ **P2P Latency Metric**

  - ➤ **P2P Latency Variation Metric**

  - ➤ **P2MP Latency Metric**

  - ➤ **P2MP Latency Variation Metric**

- **New Objective Functions**

  - ➤ **Minimum Latency Path Objective Function**

  - ➤ **Minimum Latency Variation Path Objective Function**

- **Other than specifying code points for the new metric types and objective function, the draft does not propose any changes to PCEP**

# Next Steps

- **There is an overlap with draft-dhody-pce-pcep-service-aware.**

- **Authors have agreed to merge the documents.**

- **Will like to request WG feedback.**

# Thank You.