Path Computation Element WG Status

Jean-Philippe Vasseur (jvasseur@cisco.com)
Julien Meuric (julien.meuric@orange.com)

Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice.

Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

Note Well: in Other Words

- If you write, say, or discuss anything in the IETF, formally or informally, that is considered "a contribution" to the IETF.
- If you believe this contribution is covered by a
 patent or patent application you or your
 employer own, one of you must disclose that.
- More information and references: http://www.ietf.org/about/note-well.html

Administrivia

- Blue Sheets, scribe(s)
- Presenters, stick to your timeslot
- People at the mic, state you name

 Reminder: please consider requesting slots/posting I-Ds if there is enough interest expressed by the WG

Milestones

- Oct 2011 Submit WSON requirements to the IESG to be considered as an Informational RFC
- Done Submit extensions for hierarchical PCE path computation model as WG document
- Jan 2012 Submit the PCEP MIB to the IESG to be considered as a Proposed Standard
- Jan 2012 Submit P2MP MIB as a WG document
- Feb 2012 Submit the discovery MIB to the IESG to be considered as a Proposed Standard
- Feb 2012 Submit inter-layer extensions to the IESG to be considered as a Proposed Standard
- Mar 2012 Submit inter-area/AS applicability statement to the IESG as an informational RFC
- Mar 2012 Submit PCEP extensions for WSON as a WG document
- Apr 2012 Submit the GMPLS requirements to the IESG to be considered as an Informational RFC
- Jun 2012 Submit PCEP extensions for GMPLS to the IESG to be considered as a Proposed Standard
- Aug 2012 Submit PCEP extensions for WSON to the IESG to be considered as a Proposed Standard
- Oct 2012 Submit P2MP MIB to the IESG to be considered as a Proposed Standard
- Feb 2013 Submit extensions for hierarchical model to the IESG to be considered as a Proposed Standard

Agenda Bashing

1. Introduction

- 1.1. Administrivia, Agenda Bashing (chairs, 5 min)
- 1.2. WG Status (chairs, 10 min)

2. Stateful PCE

- 2.1. PCEP Extensions for Stateful Control (Ed Crabbe, 5 min)
- 2.2. PCEP Extensions for Stateful Control of MPLS-TE LSPs (Ed Crabbe, 5 min)
- 2.3. PCEP Extensions for PCE-initiated LSP Setup in a Stateful PCE Model (Ina Minei, 10 min)
- 2.4. PCEP Extensions for MPLS-TE LSP protection with stateful PCE (Ravi Torvi, 10 min)
- 2.5. Open discussion (15 min)

3. PCEP Extensions...

- 3.1. ... for L3VPNs (Miyasaka-san, 10 min)
- 3.2. ... for Additional OF and Metrics (George Swallow / Zafar Ali, 10 min)
- 3.3. ... for Explicit Inclusion or Exclusion of Abstract Nodes in P2MP (Dhruv Dhody, 10 min)

Documents

- No new RFC since Vancouver
- In RFC Editor's queue
 - draft-ietf-pce-hierarchy-fwk
- Expired
 - draft-ietf-pce-inter-area-as-applicability
 - draft-ietf-pce-pcep-inter-domain-p2mp-procedures
 - draft-ietf-pce-tc-mib
 - draft-ietf-pce-disc-mib
 - draft-ietf-pce-vpn-req

Not on the Agenda

- draft-ietf-pce-enhanced-errors
 - think about it when discussion PCEP extensions
- draft-ietf-gmpls-aps-req
 - linked to the above: ready to move forward?
- draft-ietf-gmpls-pcep-extensions
 - should move forward soon: time for review
- draft-ietf-pce-inter-layer-ext
 - some comments in July, no change since
- draft-ietf-pce-pcep-domain-sequence
 - some discussion

Not on the Agenda

- draft-ietf-pce-pcep-mib
 - more feedback from implementers and users still expected
- draft-ietf-pce-vendor-constraints
 - ready to move forward?
- draft-ietf-pce-wson-routing-wavelength
 - waiting for solution I-D
 - draft-lee-pce-wson-rwa-ext is overlaping with GMPLS extensions at large: should rely on it