# RTP Media Congestion Avoidance Techniques (rmcat)

Chairs: Lars Eggert < lars@netapp.com>,

Mirja Kuehlewind <mkuehle@ikr.uni-stuttgart.de>

Mailing list: rmcat@ietf.org

# Note Well

- Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF
  Internet Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered
  an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as
  written and electronic communications made at any /me or place, which are addressed to:
  - The IETF plenary session
  - The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
  - Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
  - Any IETF working group or portion thereof
  - Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
  - The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
  - The RFC Editor or the Internet □ Drafts function
  - All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).
- Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice.
- Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.
- A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.
- A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

# Agenda

13:00	Administrativa & WG Overview (Chairs)
	http://trac.tools.ietf.org/wg/rmcat/trac/wiki/RearrangedCharter
13:20	Architectural Overview (Michael Welzl)
13:45	Congestion Control Requirements For RMCAT (Randell Jesup)
	draft-jesup-rmcat-reqs
14:10	Evaluating Congestion Control for Interactive Real-time Media (Varun Singh)
	draft-singh-rmcat-cc-eval

#### IF TIME PERMITS

Congestion control algorithm for lower latency and lower loss media transport (*Piers O'Hanlon*) **draft-ohanlon-rmcat-dflow** 

A Google Congestion Control Algorithm for Real-Time Communication on the World Wide Web (*Harald Alvestrand*) **draft-alvestrand-rtcweb-congestion** NADA: A Unified Congestion Control Scheme for Real-Time Media (*Xiaoqing Zhu*) **draft-zhu-rmcat-nada** 

# Motivation

- Number of RT flows is likely to increase, e.g. using RTCWEB protocol suite
- No congestion control mechanism for interactive real-time media available (using RTP over UDP)
- → Goal: Specifying one or more congestion control mechanisms that can find general acceptance

#### **Requirements** (not limited to)

- Low delay and low jitter
- Reasonable share of bandwidth when competing with other protocols (also TCP)
- Use of signals like packet loss and ECN

# rmcat charter

#### In-scope

- Requirements and evaluation criteria
- Identify interactions between applications and RTP flows
- Extensions to RTP/RTCP if needed for carrying congestion control feedback
- Techniques to detect, instrument or diagnose failing to meet RT schedules
- Identifying shared bottlenecks
- CC candidates and evaluation leads to Standard Track or Historic status

#### rmcat charter

#### **Out-of-scope**

- Circuit-breaker algorithms
- Media flows for non-interactive/non-delay sensitive traffic
- Active queue management algorithms or modifications to TCP of any kind
- Multicast congestion control (common control of multiple unicast flows is in scope)
- Topologies other than point-to-point connections; implications on multi-hop connections will be considered at a later stage

# rmcat charter

The working group is expected to work closely with

- RAI area, mainly AVTCORE and AVTEXT WGs
- CLUE and RTCWEB WGs (applications/protocol suites)
- Transport area groups working on congestion control and ICCRG

#### cc-requirements

**Charter title** Requirements for congestion control algorithms for interactive real

time media

Intended status Informational RFC

Goals Adopt Dec 2012, Submit Mar 2013

Develop a clear understanding of the congestion control requirements for RTP flows, and document efficiencies of existing mechanisms such as TFRC with regards to these requirements. This must be completed prior to finishing any Experimental algorithm specifications (#cc-cand).

The set of requirements for such an algorithm includes, but is not limited to:

- Low delay and low jitter for the case where there is no competing traffic using other algorithms
- Reasonable share of bandwidth when competing with RMCAT traffic, other real-time media protocols, and ideally also TCP and other protocols. A 'reasonable share' means that no flow has a significantly negative impact [RFC5033] on other flows and at minimum that no flow starves.
- Effective use of signals like packet loss and ECN markings to adapt to congestion

The work will be guided by the advice laid out in **RFC 5405** (UDP Usage Guidelines), **RFC 2914** (congestion control principles), and **RFC5033** (Specifying New Congestion Control Algorithms).

#### eval-criteria

**Charter title** Evaluation criteria for congestion control algorithms for interactive

real time media

Intended status Informational RFC

Goals Adopt Dec 2012, Submit Mar 2013

Define evaluation criteria for proposed congestion control mechanisms, and publish these as an Informational RFC. This must be completed prior to finishing any Proposed Standard algorithm specifications (#cc-rec).

#### rtcp-requirements

**Charter title** Requirements for RTCP extensions for use with congestion control

algorithms

Intended status ?

Goals If needed: Adopt Dec 2012, Submit Mar 2013

Determine if extensions to RTP/RTCP are needed for carrying congestion control feedback, using DCCP as a model. If so, provide the requirements for such extensions to the AVTCORE working group for standardization there.

Only a work item if the WG in consultation with AVTCORE decides on the need.

#### app-interactions

Charter title Interactions between applications and RTP flows

Intended status Informational RFC

Goals If needed: Adopt ?, Submit May 2013

Identify interactions between applications and RTP flows to enable conveying helpful cross-layer information such as per-packet priorities, flow elasticity, etc. This information might be used to populate an API, but the WG will not define a specific API itself.

#### group-cc

Charter title Identifying and controlling groups of flows

Intended status Proposed Standard

Goals Adopt Jan 2013, Submit Jul 2013

Develop a mechanism for identifying shared bottlenecks between groups of flows, and means to flexibly allocate their rates within the aggregate hitting the shared bottleneck. (Probably needs to wait until #cc-cand are described in sufficient detail.)

The work will be guided by the advice laid out in RFC 5405 (UDP Usage Guidelines), RFC 2914 (congestion control principles), and RFC5033 (Specifying New Congestion Control Algorithms).

#### detect-sched-failures

Charter title Techniques to detect, instrument or diagnose failing to meet RT

schedules

**Intended status** Informational RFC or Standards Track

Goals Adopt Sep 2013, Submit Mar 2014

Develop techniques to detect, instrument or diagnose failing to meet RT schedules due to failures of components outside of the charter scope, possibly in collaboration with IPPM.

If needed for interoperability or other aspects that would justify it.

#### cc-cand-X

Charter title Candidate congestion control algorithm for interactive real time media

Goals Adopt Jan 2013, Submit Jun 2013 (first candidate)

Find or develop candidate congestion control algorithms, verify that these can be tested on the Internet without significant risk, and publish one or more of these as Experimental RFCs.

Likely more than one.

#cc-requirements must be submitted to the IESG first!

The work will be guided by the advice laid out in **RFC 5405** (UDP Usage Guidelines), **RFC 2914** (congestion control principles), and **RFC5033** (Specifying New Congestion Control Algorithms).

#### eval-results

**Charter title** Experimentation and evaluation results for candidate

congestion control algorithms

Intended status Informational RFC

Goals Adopt Sep 2013, Submit ?

Publish evaluation criteria and the result of experimentation with these Experimental algorithms on the Internet. This must be completed prior to finishing any Proposed Standard algorithm specifications (#cc-rec).

#eval-criteria must be finished first!

#### cc-rec-X

Charter title Recommended congestion control algorithms for interactive real time

media

Intended status Proposed Standard

Goals Adopt Sep 2013, Submit May 2014

Once an algorithm has been found or developed that meets the evaluation criteria, and has a satisfactory amount of documented experience on the Internet, publish this algorithm as a Standards Track RFC. There may be more than one algorithm; in this case it will be one of the objects of the experimentation to determine the applicabilities and relative merits of the algorithms.

One or more.

#eval-results must be finished first!

#### cc-cand-X-historic

Charter title -

Intended status Informational RFC

Goals Adopt ?, Submit ?

For each of the Experimental algorithms that have not been selected for the Standards Track, the working group will review the algorithm and determine whether there are significant flaws, such as ones that turn out to be harmful to flows using or competing with them. If so, the WG will write a document describing the issues encountered and recommending to the IESG to move the specification to Historic status.

Not clear at this stage whether we will have these work items.

# Media Transport in RTCweb

- Data Congestion Control
- Differentiated Treatment of Flows (using DiffServ)