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## Congestion Control

- Low latency preferred
- Fights with media streams
- Large transfers could kill interactive media
- Primary requirement


## Options

- Default
- Loss-based, can kill media
- Can impose a cap on data (\% or max)
- Can allow a minimum bandwidth
- Can be dynamic and under control of the application, which can get feedback about the current link bandwidth available via the JS API interfaces
- Act as slave to media congestion control, if it exists (\% of what media thinks is available)


## More Options

- LEDBAT
- Scavenger protocol
- Can impose a fixed delay (spec 100ms)
- Could be tuned to a much lower version, if the algorithm is stable, like 10 or 20 ms
- Downside is that an application can't guarantee any reasonable amount of bandwidth to the data channel; it's unclear if the congestion algorithm for media and LEDBAT would collapse to one getting all or the other getting all
- Future: RMCAT


## Initial creation

- Currently we can create channels once the connection is up
- It's proposed that we pre-define channels at connection time (via SDP)
- Cuts RTT in setup at call-creation time
- Many applications will have a fixed list of datachannels
- SDP would be in the MMUSIC SCTP/DTLS draft


## What is in a particular DataChannel?

- When we have two different apps in a call, they may want to still use datachannels to exchange data in a well-known form - not just application specific
- Label is insufficient, though people would make it work
- Suggestion: add the 'protocol' field from websockets (in addition to label), which is a registered value.


## Example

- Channel = pc.createDataChannel("label","protocol", \{ options \});
- Channel = pc.createDataChannel("Chat","application/blah-chat-proto", \{\});
- pc.onDataChannel(function(channel) \{
if (channel.protocol == "application/blah-chatproto") $\{\ldots$... $\}$
\}


## Questions/Discussion

