

# Constraints

draft-burnett-rtcweb-constraints-registry-02

Dan Burnett

IETF-85

First, some background  
on constraints

# Constraints are

- A cross between preferences and settings
- Useful for selection/configuration when
  - Settings not appropriate, e.g.,
    - Privacy reasons
    - Authors "just want something good enough"
  - Preferences are insufficient because
    - Some criteria are crucial, or
    - Certain criteria are more important than others

# Constraints are

- Used in WebRTC by
  - `getUserMedia()` for selection of `MediaStreamTracks`
  - `RTCPeerConnection.addStream()` for configuring streams about to be sent over a `PeerConnection`
  - Possibly a new runtime config API
- Defined in
  - Media Capture and Streams spec
  - WebRTC spec

# Example

- {video:{  
 mandatory:{  
 *minAspectRatio:1.3333*,  
 *maxAspectRatio:1.3333*},  
 optional:{  
 [{*minWidth:1024*},  
 {*maxHeight:1440*}]},  
 audio:true}

# Constraints are

- Media type specific (possibly also non-media)
- Mandatory or prioritized optional
- (video) minWidth, maxWidth, minAspectRatio
- (PeerConnection) enumOfferToReceiveVideo

And now, the registry

# Proposed registry:

## "RTCWeb Media Constraints"

- Policy: Spec. req'd with expert review
- Each entry consists of
  - Name
    - Prefix of "min", "max", "enum"
    - Then camel case alphanumerics
  - Media Type
    - One of "audio", "video", or "RTCPeerConnection"
  - Reference (or list of references)
- Draft defines min, max, enum



# Known open issues

- May need to change/enlarge list of "media types"
- May decide to pull min/max/enum out of name
- May decide to remove "RTCWeb" from registry name
- May decide to remove "Media" from registry name

# Now what?/Discussion

- How should this progress?
  - Individual draft
  - Working Group draft
- Any Other Comments?