# Traffic Management Benchmarking Framework

IETF 86 Orlando

Barry Constantine barry.constantine@jdsu.com Tim Copley timothy.copley@level3.com Ram Krishnan ramk@brocade.com

## **Traffic Management Benchmarking Overview**

- Could be an extension of RFC 2544 benchmarking into traffic management functionality
  - Classification / Prioritization
  - Policing
  - Buffering
  - Queuing / Scheduling
  - Shaping
- In addition to packet based testing, would utilize "application test patterns" in order to fully characterize the performance of the device under bursty traffic conditions

### **Status of Personal Submission**

- Received extensive comments from three (3) reviewers
  - Overall comments were very supportive of this work
- Summary of comments:
  - Test the DUT with multiple flows during each of the test types; for example, queue tests need each type of queue exercised in parallel
  - In addition to observed packet performance (i.e. drops), verify that the DUT counters are accurate
  - Need to address multiple port test cases
  - Add TCP layer testing to the Policer benchmarking (in addition to stateless traffic tests)
  - Remove the misused "QoS" term throughout document

### **Discussion of Benchmark vs. Functional Test**

- Al Morton made some comments (as chair) concerning the nature of a functional test versus black-box benchmark
  - "Inherently, that testing includes the "functional" test that you are seeking now (If I configure 64kbps CBR, does the DUT enforce/achieve that limit)"
- Language in the draft will be augmented to align with the BMWG charter
  - Clearly state that performance metrics will be measured to compare vendor performance

#### **Next Steps for the Traffic Management Draft**

- We seek the BMWG to formally adopt this personal submission as a chartered draft work
- Work intensely on the next revision(s) to incorporate the excellent comments that we received