

INSIPID WG

IETF-86

Orlando, March 13, 2013

Keith Drage (WG co-chair)

Gonzalo Salgueiro (WG co-chair)



Note Well (Summary)

- This summary is only meant to point you in the right direction, and doesn't have all the nuances. The IETF's IPR Policy is set forth in [BCP 79](#); please read it carefully.
- By participating with the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes.
- If you are aware that a contribution of yours (something you write, say, or discuss in any IETF context) is covered by patents or patent applications, you need to disclose that fact.
- You understand that meetings might be recorded and broadcast.
- For further information, talk to a chair, ask an Area Director, or review [BCP 9](#) (on the Internet Standards Process), [BCP 25](#) (on the Working Group processes), [BCP 78](#) (on the IETF Trust), and [BCP 79](#) (on Intellectual Property Rights in the IETF).

Agenda

15:10 - 15:20 Introduction and Status Update (Chairs)

- Agenda bash, Note Well, Note-takers and Jabber scribes, etc.
- WG status since IETF85 in Atlanta
- Action items, milestone dates, etc.

15:20 - 15:50 Discussion of requirements draft (Paul Jones)

[draft-ietf-insipid-session-id-reqts-05.txt](#)

- Changes since IETF85 in Atlanta
- Have we handled open issues since last mtg (i.e., transfers, etc.)
- Settle on suitable terminology
- WGLC?



Agenda (continued)

15:50 - 17:05 Review and discussion of proposed solution (James)

[draft-jones-insipid-session-id-02.txt](#)

- Summary of changes since -01 version
- Review and Discussion of session id call flows
- In line with Requirements draft?
- Do we publish draft-kaplan-session-id as Historic to complete backwards compatibility?

17:05 - 17:10 Wrap-up (Chairs)

- Next steps, action items, etc.
- Are more virtual interims needed?

Status Update

- **Milestone:** Session-ID Requirements & Use Cases Draft
 - Charter scope issues seem to be resolved now
 - ‘Communication Session’ terminology/definition seems to be final sticking point
 - Deadline missed, milestone dates to be updated (again)
 - Are we ready for WGLC?
- **Milestone:** Session-ID Solution Draft
 - New version published addressing the comments from Atlanta meeting
 - Call for WG adoption draft text already issued on alias -> unanimously in favor
 - Publish draft-kaplan-session-id as Historic to complete backwards compatibility?
 - Deadline missed, milestone dates to be updated (again)
- Should virtual interim meetings continue in order to progress the work according to proposed schedule?

