

## **Minutes of the IETF SIPREC WG Meeting - IETF 87 Berlin.**

**Date: Tuesday, July 30th 2013, 1520-1650**

Meeting chaired by Brian Rosen and Andy Hutton.

Minutes produced by Andy Hutton based on notes from Thomas Stach and Charles Eckel. The Meetecho Recording was also used to compile these minutes.

Jabber log: <http://www.ietf.org/jabber/logs/siprec/2013-07-30.html>

Meetecho Recording: [http://ietf87.conf.meetecho.com/index.php/Recorded\\_Sessions#SIPREC](http://ietf87.conf.meetecho.com/index.php/Recorded_Sessions#SIPREC)

Charter & Milestones: <http://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/siprec/charter/>.

**Chairs – Agenda/status** - [http://recordings.conf.meetecho.com/Recordings/watch.jsp?recording=IETF87\\_SIPREC&chapter=part\\_1](http://recordings.conf.meetecho.com/Recordings/watch.jsp?recording=IETF87_SIPREC&chapter=part_1)

Metadata draft needs more review there is no presentation during this meeting on metadata.

Companies implementing SIPREC for NENA are expected to be at an interop event for NG911 implementations scheduled for fall (Nov.?). 3-4 Implementations expected to be there. Brian will ask the implementers for a review. An experienced IETF reviewer is also needed. Biggest concern is if all necessary semantic are defined.

Targeting interop events to look for reviewers.

- Brian Rosen: NINA (Next Generation 911)
- Charles Eckel: IMTC SIP Parity groups

ACTION: Need to find reviewers for metadata draft.

### **SIPREC Protocol (Henry Lum) -**

[http://recordings.conf.meetecho.com/Recordings/watch.jsp?recording=IETF85\\_SIPREC&chapter=part\\_1](http://recordings.conf.meetecho.com/Recordings/watch.jsp?recording=IETF85_SIPREC&chapter=part_1)

SIPREC Protocol - Henry Lum <http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-siprec-protocol-10>

- changes to draft presented
- Paul K., if SRC prefers to mix and therefore puts both directions on one m-line, will SRS support that. This will be addresses by adding a statement that says it is possible for the SRC to mix, if not clear in draft already.
- check on this, then plan to start WGLC

ACTION: WGLC to be started.

### **Recording IVR Sessions – New Use Case (Henry Lum) -**

[http://recordings.conf.meetecho.com/Recordings/watch.jsp?recording=IETF87\\_SIPREC&chapter=part\\_3](http://recordings.conf.meetecho.com/Recordings/watch.jsp?recording=IETF87_SIPREC&chapter=part_3)

<http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-lum-siprec-vxml-00.txt>

Paul Kyzivat, why does IVR need separate tag to identify/indicate that recording is occurring

- Henry explained that IVR does not always have access to SDP a:record=on/off attribute, so need to define some syntax for IVR to set/get this info

Paul Kyzivat indicated that SIPREC would be the appropriate place to do this.

Could result in VXML extensions (which need to be done in W3C). Dan Burnett (chair of VoiceXMLW3C WG) indicated availability for discussion in case of VXML extensions.

Show of hands just revealed little interested (One hand).

ACTION: Query for more interest via the mailing list, before making a decision.

#### **Web Conference Recording – New Use Case (Paul Kyzivat) -**

[http://recordings.conf.meetecho.com/Recordings/watch.jsp?recording=IETF87\\_SIPREC&chapter=part\\_4](http://recordings.conf.meetecho.com/Recordings/watch.jsp?recording=IETF87_SIPREC&chapter=part_4)

<http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-kyzivat-siprec-webconf-use-case-00>

Proposal for extension to messaging, document sharing, app/screen sharing, ...

Comments from Jonathan Lennox that BFCP messages could also be subject to recording

New proposed use cases MSRP session recording & XMPP session recording.

Richard Barnes indicated that XMPP would need to go through DISPATCH, MSRP possibly already covered by charter.

Slide Recording document sharing

Simon Romano: In a conference a lot of things are done by protocols other than SIP. XMPP is used by Meetecho for creating timestamps for orchestration of a replay. All of this is outside of scope of SIPREC.

Document sharing: An URL pointing to the document could be recorded in the metadata.

App/Screen sharing could be recorded as video streams without the need for further standardization.

#### **Conference Recording Playback**

- If existing metadata that needs to be recorded, they yes, should look at it
- If need to define new metadata that is to be recorded, then probably not
- Mary Barnes: in regard to CLUE, wait for CLUE to be done, then see if there is something else you need to record for CLUE

Show of hands: 5 individuals showed interest, but too early for adoption

Recording MSRP is new protocol work, the rest only metadata work.

ACTION: Take to list, revise draft, and have more discussion