draft-andersson-mpls-lsp-ping-upd-00

To be discussed

Status

- General agreement that the IANA section of RFC 4379 needs to be updated
- The text in the draft is for discussion
 - Is it enough to update the IANA section or do we have an impact on the rest RFC 4379?
 - Are all allocation policies the same for all sub-TLVs?
 - Or could we specify unique allocation policies for the sub-TLVs for one TLV?
 - Treament of TLVs/sub-TLVs that are not understood
 - One or more documents (a practical decision)?
 - etc

Next steps?

- Corridor discussion
- Comments/discussion on the mailing list