Stateful PCE - update

draft-ietf-pce-stateful-pce-05 draft-crabbe-pce-pce-initated-lsp-02

Ina Minei

Ed Crabbe

Jan Medved

Robert Varga

Siya Siyabalan

Common updates to both drafts

- Use a request ID to allow correlation of events and errors
 - Introduction of the SRP (Stateful PCE Request Parameters)
 Object
 - Specification of procedures for event correlation
- Specify a state cleanup mechanism for removal of PCE state after a PCEP session failure (introduction of the State Timeout Interval)
- Error reporting cleanup to allow easy correlation and communication of RSVP signaling errors

Base draft draft-ietf-pce-stateful-pce-05

- Address comments received during the last IETF
 - Very productive meetings in Orlando
- Terminology cleanup
 - Stateful PCE, active, passive, etc
 - LSP-ID renamed PLSP-ID to avoid confusion with RSVP notation
 - Delegation vs re-delegation to clarify operation after failure

- LSP state synchronization PCC-PCE
 - Explicit end of synchronization
 - Support for PCE-requested resynchronization with the PCC
- Clarification of the re-delegation procedures and state cleanup
 - Discussion on operation with redundant PCE and re-delegation
- Description of make-before-break
- Cleanup of error reporting and error generation
- Remove support of path-list in report and update messages

- Add the LSP signaling type to the LSP object for extensibility (RSVP is the default)
- Clean up reporting of the operational state
 - Support reporting of multiple operational states
- LSP identifiers TLV move to the base draft common to both MPLS and GMPLS
- Clean up the LSP error code TLV and RSVP error spec TLVs
 - generic support for both MPLS-TE and GMPLS

- General structure
 - Base draft
 - Technology specific drafts
 - GMPLS draft-zhang-pce-pcep-stateful-pce-gmpls-02
 - MPLS-TE draft-crabbe-pce-stateful-pce-mpls-te-01. As part of the cleanup of error reporting and making the document generic, the content from this document moved to the base draft no MPLS-TE specific extensions needed at this point
- Implementation status
 - At least two vendors working on implementations, early versions available in shipping code from at least one vendor.

Base draft - next steps

- Duplication of use causes some confusion
 - Remove the use cases from the base draft after the adoption of draft-zhang-pce-stateful-pce-app as a working group document
- Incorporate comments received on the list since posting version 05
 - Already in progress
- Please review the changes from the previous versions

PCE-initiated LSP draft – draft-crabbe-pce-pce-initiated-lsp-02

PCE-initiated LSP draft updates

- Rename PCCreate to PCInitiate
- Instantiation and deletion are both handled through
 PCInitiate messages (instead of PCCreate and PCupd)
- The SRP object is mandatory and provides correlation of events and errors.
- Error handling similar to the base draft
- State cleanup is handled similarly with the base draft (the lsp-cleanup TLV is removed)

Next steps

- At least two vendors working on implementations
- Multiple drafts depend on this draft
- Please review the updated document