

draft-ietf-pwe3-dynamic-ms-pw

Shepherd report

Why an “external” shepherd?

- Most of the people that normally would have shepherded are co-authors.

Shepherd review

- **Lots of details and nits**
- **Nothing serious**
- **Current version -17 (sic!) is updated after the shepherd review**

IPR Poll

- **20 co-authors**
- **At least 4 of them have new and “unknown” mail-addresses.**
- **Still waiting for 4 responses**
- **Two has responded off-list**
 - **I’ve judge this to be OK, but will be detailed in the shepherd write-up**
- **What happens if we don’t get the responses**
 - **We will ask the wg if it is prepared to go ahead anyway**
 - **Fairly complicate shepherd write-up**

Shepherd write-up

- **Docuement that is sent to the IESG with the request for publication**
- **Include information on (among other things)**
 - **Details on how the shepherd and the wg have reviewed the draft**
 - **The IPR sitution**
 - **Know or inteneded implemenations**
 - **How solid the support for the document is within the eg**