Evaluating Congestion Control for Interactive Real-time Media

draft-singh-rmcat-cc-eval-03 01 August 2013, IETF 87, RMCAT

Varun Singh, Jörg Ott

Changes since -02

- Cleanup of metrics
 - There are still many OPEN ISSUES
- Added RTP log format
 - Use RTPDUMP or already IETF-defined RTP log format?
- Added a new guidelines: Startup behaviour
- Example Evaluation Scenarios section replaced by Evaluation Parameters
 - Components to build new scenarios
- Added Michael Ramalho's self-fairness scenario as an appendix
 - Scenario discussed in the design team meetings
 - Acknowledged Michael in the Contributors Section.

Unfairness Metric

The criteria are:

- 1. Do not trigger the circuit breaker.
- 2. Over 3 times or less than 1/3 times the throughput for an RMCAT media stream compared to identical RMCAT streams competing on a bottleneck, for a case when the competing streams have similar RTTs.
- 3. Over 3 times delay compared to RTT measurements performed before starting the RMCAT flow or for the case when competing with identical RMCAT streams having similar RTTs.
- Does the criteria capture Unfairness adequately?

Metrics: Open Issues

- Agree on Unfairness definition
- Define convergence time
 - Related to Startup behaviour
- Remove Bandwidth Utilization?
- Remove Application trade-off?
 - How to measure Throughput vs. Delay vs. Loss
 - Or delegate this to the description of each scenario?
- Is the quality metric entirely off the table?

Evaluation parameters

A suite of parameters to <u>create</u> new scenarios:

- List of Traffic Flows
- List of Access Links
- List of Link parameters
 - Link losses and link latency
- List of Router queue lengths
 - Only Droptail queues
- List of Media flow parameters
- Missing: TCP Cross-traffic parameters

List of Traffic Flows

- 1. A single RMCAT flow by itself.
- 2. Competing with similar RMCAT flows. These competing flows may use the same algorithm or another candidate RMCAT algorithm.
- 3. Compete with long-lived TCP.
- 4. Compete with bursty TCP.
- 5. Compete with LEDBAT flows.
- Compete with unresponsive interactive media flows (i.e., not only CBR).

Parameters: Open Issues

- Map wireless access links to link properties?
 - Different for 3G/LTE and WLAN?
- Link losses
 - Traces?
 - Gilbert-elliot model?
- Latency parameter guideline for a scenario:
 - Hop-by-hop, or
 - End-to-end

Next Steps

How to handle new scenarios?

Adopt as WG item