Deployment Considerations for Lightweight 4over6

draft-sun-softwire-lightweigh-4over6-deployment-04

IETF 87-Berlin, July 2013

Qiong Sun, C.Xie (Presenter), Y. Lee, M. Chen

Lightweight 4over6 Deployment Considerations

- Based on preliminary experimental deployment, this work describes various deployment models of Lightweight 4over6 and operational considerations for lightweight 4over6.
- It is presented once in IETF 83.

IETF 87

 Now that lw4over6 has been adopted, deployment considerations is an important guideline for operators.

Current outline

Deployment Considerations for Lightweight 4over6		
Section 3	Overall deployment model in lw4over6	
Section 4: Overall Deployment Considerations		
Section 4.1	Addressing and Routing	
Section 4.2	Port-set Management	
Section 4.3	IwAFTR Discovery	
Section 4.4	Impacts on Accouting	
Section 5: IwAFTR Deployment Consideration		
Section 5.1	Logging at the IwAFTR	
Section 5.2	MTU and Fragmentation Considerations	
Section 5.3	Reliability Considerations of IwAFTR	
Section 5.4	Placement of AFTR	
Section 5.5	Port set algorithm consideration	
Section 5.6	Path Consistency Consideration	

Current Outline (conf')

Section 6: IwB4 Deployment Consideration	
Section 6.1	NAT traversal issue
Section 6.2	Static Port Forwarding Configuration
Section 7: DS-Lite Compatibility Consideration	
Section 7.1	Case 1: Integrated Network Element with Lightweight 4over6 and DS-Lite AFTR Scenario
Section 7.2	Case 2: DS-Lite Coexistent scenario with Separated AFTR
Appendix: Experimental Result	

Updates since -03

- Update the terminology, references, etc.
- Add more detailed considerations on
 - Port-set Management: DHCPv4-over-DHCPv6+Port-set extension
 - IwAFTR Discovery: add DS-Lite co-existence scenario
 - Logging at the IwAFTR: add destination logging consideration
 - MTU and Fragmentation Considerations: add IPv4 fragmentation consideration
 - Reliability Considerations of IwAFTR: add dynamic mode consideration
- Add new consideration based on the field trial in practice.
 - Impacts on Accounting: on-demand accounting consideration
 - Path Consistency Consideration: the ECMP problem in anycast deployment
 - IwB4 Deployment Consideration: NAT related consideration

Why lw4over6 needs special considerations

Differences with DS-Lite \bullet

- Per-subscriber binding vs. Per-session binding
- Port-set binding is allocated to lwB4 explicitly

Deployment impact •

- Explicit port-set management and Provisioning mechanism
- Synchronization between provisioning system and lwAFTR
- On-demand accounting impact
- Logging at the lwAFTR (destination logging not possible)
- MTU and fragmentation
- Path consistency
- NAT consideration in CPE

IETF 87

IETF 87

Why Iw4over6 needs special considerations (conf)

Differences with MAP

- Dynamic mode: binding state is created on-demand
- Addressing scheme

Deployment impact

- Addressing Planning
- Provisioning mechanism (DHCPv4-over-DHCPv6+DHCPv4 option)
- Synchronization between provisioning system and IwAFTR
- Reliability Considerations of IwAFTR (dynamic feature)
- Logging at the lwAFTR
- Path consistency
- DS-Lite Compatibility Consideration

Overlap with MAP deployment consideration

- MTU and Fragmentation Considerations
- Port set algorithm consideration
- IwB4 NAT traversal issue

Next Step

- Comments ?
- How to move forward ?