# Problem Statement and Requirements for a More Accurate ECN Feedback

tcpm - 87. IETF Berlin - July 30, 2013

draft-ietf-tcpm-accecn-reqs-03

Mirja Kühlewind <mirja.kuehlewind@ikr.uni-stuttgart.de>
Richard Scheffenegger <rs@netapp.com>

## **Problem Statement**

## **Explicit Congestion Notification (ECN)**

- allows marking packets instead of dropping in case of congestion
- but provides only one congestion feedback signal per RTT and
- does not announce the total number of markings/marked bytes to the sender
- → New TCP mechanisms need to know how many congestion markings occurred (ConEx, DCTCP and potentially other congestion control algorithms)
- → Standardize a new ECN feedback mechanism within TCP that continually feeds back the extent of congestion, not merely its existence

# Requirements

- Resilience (delayed ACK and ACK loss)
- Timeliness (feedback within one RTT)
- **Integrity** (misbehaving receiver or network node)
- Accuracy (more than one congestion notification per RTT)

Reconstruct the number of CE markings (more) accurately and in the best case even the (exact) number of payload bytes that a CE marked packet was carrying

- Complexity (minimum state information)
- Overhead
  - Ideally no additional segments and overhead in each segment minimal
  - Fall-back if new signal is suppressed by middleboxes

# Discussion on Design Approaches

#### Re-use of ECN/NS Header Bits

- 1 bit scheme (send ECE once for every CE received), 3 bit CE counter, codepoint scheme
- All schemes provide accumulated information on ECN-CE-marking feedback
- → Potentially loose feedback information due to warp-arounds Introduce redundancy?
- → If congestion rate is larger that ACK rate, congestion information cannot correctly feedback Adapt ACK rate or coding?

#### Re-use of Other Header Bits

Re-use of Urgent Pointer if Urgent Flag not set

### **Use of Additional Header Space (TCP Option)**

Additional option space can be used to provide further information as exact number of marker/lost bytes

- → Considerable signaling overhead (option needed with each ACK..?)
- → Requirements "should be discussed for any proposed more accurate ECN feedback scheme"
- → Only initial discussion provided: Please review and provide feedback!