


Generic Aggregation of Resource Reservation Protocol (RSVP) for IPv4 and IPv6 Reservation over PCN domains



draft-ietf-tsvwg-rsvp-pcn-05

Georgios Karagiannis, Anurag Bhargava




- Recap (Orlando)
- Main Changes
- Next steps




Recap (from Orlando)

- Comments of Lixia and Ken were worked out in Version 4
- Argumentation of Francois of selecting RFC 4860 as basis of supporting the RSVP over PCN signaling were included in Version 4
- Action points to be worked out in Version 5
 - To incorporate Bob and David comments and post on WG



Main changes in Version 5

- Bob's comments: For ex: Added text to be more clearer
"Even though these procedures are out of the scope of this document, the PCN-ingress-node can refer to a central decision point which can respond to the PCN ingress as per [RFC2753]."
- David's comment on misconfiguration and added Section 3.16:
"3.16. Misconfiguration of PCN-node
In an event where a PCN-node is misconfigured within a PCN-domain, the desired behavior is same as described in Section 3.9. Therefore, the Aggregated Resv messages are simply forwarded as normal IP datagrams."
- Few editorial fixes and some cleanups



Next steps

- Working Group Last Call?