

**CDNI Request Routing:
Footprint and Capabilities Semantics Draft
(draft-ietf-cdni-footprint-capabilities-semantics-01)**

Jan Seedorf, Jon Peterson, Stefano Previdi, Ray van Brandenburg, Kevin Ma

IETF 88, Vancouver

CDNI WG

November 2013

Background & Goals

- **Objectives of draft-ietf-cdni-footprint-capabilities-semantic**
 - Captures the semantics of the CDNI Request Routing FCI interface
 - i.e. the desired meaning and what "Footprint and Capabilities Advertisement" is expected to offer within CDNI
 - Defines mandatory types of footprint and capabilities to be supported by protocol solutions for the CDNI FCI
 - Defines procedure for registering new (optional) types of footprint and capabilities in the future

Changes since -00 version

- Replaced Section on “CDNI FCI in existing CDNI Documents” with short summary in introduction
- Removed Section on “Open Issues and Questions”
- Added Section on “Negotiation of Support for Optional Types of Footprint/Capabilities”
- Added Section on “IANA Considerations”

Negotiation of Support for Optional Types of Footprint/Capabilities

- Any FCI solution protocol must define how the support for optional types of footprint/capabilities will be negotiated between a uCDN and a dCDN that use the particular FCI protocol
- In particular, any FCI solution protocol needs to specify how to handle failure cases or non-supported types of footprint/ capabilities
- Optional types of footprints must use footprint types defined in the CDNI Metadata Footprint Types Registry created by the Metadata RFC

IANA Considerations

- IANA registries are to be used for mandatory and optional types of footprint and capabilities
- A new IANA registry is requested for the "CDNI Capabilities" namespace
 - The namespace shall be split into two partitions: “standard” and “vendor defined”
 - New “standard” capabilities require an RFC
 - The “vendor defined” partition is split by vendor name
 - Per-vendor sub-partitions require Expert Review
 - Vendors may freely add capabilities to their partition

IANA Considerations

- Standard Capabilities defined in this RFC
 - Delivery Protocol
 - Uses protocols defined in the CDNI Metadata Protocols Registry created by the Metadata RFC
 - Acquisition Protocol
 - Uses protocols defined in the CDNI Metadata Protocols Registry created by the Metadata RFC
 - Redirection Mode
 - Redirection Mode Registry defined in this RFC, with initial values: Iterative DNS, Recursive DNS, Iterative HTTP, Recursive HTTP

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgement: Jan Seedorf is partially supported by the CHANGE project (CHANGE: Enabling Innovation in the Internet Architecture through Flexible Flow-Processing Extensions, <http://www.change-project.eu/>), a research project supported by the European Commission under its 7th Framework Program (contract no. 257422). The views and conclusions contained herein are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies or endorsements, either expressed or implied, of the CHANGE project or the European Commission.