

CLUE WG

IETF-88

Session 2 (Friday, Nov 8, 2013)

Mary Barnes (WG co-chair)

Paul Kyzivat (WG co-chair)



I E T F[®]

Note Well

- **Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:**
 - the IETF plenary session,
 - any IETF working group or portion thereof,
 - the IESG or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG,
 - the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,
 - any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself,
 - any working group or design team list,
 - or any other list functioning under IETF auspices,
 - the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function
- **All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879). Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.**
- **A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.**
- **A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.**



Agenda - Friday (11:20-13:30)

- 11:20-11:25 Agenda Bash (Chairs)
- 11:25-12:25 Framework: Switching & Issues
- 12:25-13:00 Signaling: CLUE encoding limits - SDP or CLUE decision
- 13:00-13:30 Way Forward & Wrap-up (Chairs)

Consensus Call – CLUE encoding limits

- 1) Who supports putting the encoding limits in the CLUE signaling?
- 2) Who supports putting the encoding limits in SDP?
- 3) Who thinks either option is viable?



Way Forward

1. Update Framework based on discussions/conclusions (Mark)
 - Pre-WGLC starts as soon as doc updated
 - Anticipated WGLC w/early directorate reviews in Feb 2014
 - Hold doc until solution docs more mature (Aug 2014)
2. Update Signaling doc with details of decision on encodings (Rob)
3. Continue review, discussion and updates to the CLUE protocol document (WG, Roberta/Simon)
4. Work to begin on separate CLUE data channel document (Christer)



Proposed Way Forward

1. Design team meetings (held as needed):

- Restart on Nov. 19th
- Continue through December 17th.
- Restart on Jan 14th.
- Webex/materials on wiki

2. Interim meeting:

- Chairs will post a doodle to determine feasibility of f2f interim meeting in late January/early February (contact chairs if you are willing to host).
- Backup is a virtual interim in a similar timeframe.

