



# CohortFS

## **Some Considerations for End to End File Integrity and Privacy in NFS**

Marcus Watts

`mdw@cohortfs.com`

Matt Benjamin

`matt@cohortfs.com`

November 5, 2013

# environment

storage on nfs server managed by “somebody else” that we don’t completely trust

- ▶ encrypted so others can’t read data
- ▶ integrity determine that what we fetch is what we stored earlier
- ▶ compatible with pnfs operate on dispersed data and provide for parallelism while doing so

# goals

- ▶ encrypt file contents
- ▶ encrypt file names
- ▶ merkle tree for integrity
- ▶ file contents need to be managed “per-segment” for pnfs

# key management

- ▶ lockbox, using acls as “hook” to share keys
- ▶ nonces on objects as needed to augment per-data security

# consistency

need atomicity for

- ▶ file creation open plus any metadata should appear “all at once”
- ▶ write operations half-completed write operations will fail integrity!
- ▶ pnfs failure of client mid-operation should not result in “broken” file



# misc

if file contents are encrypted, can use krb5i not krb5p.

# existing mechanisms

- ▶ dix/dif
  - ▶ low level:  $512 + 8 = 520$  byte blocks
- ▶ named attributes
  - ▶ (but only as files not on segments)
  - ▶ (accessed thru mds, non-atomic access)



# proposed

- ▶ layouts some level of atomicity? maybe?
- ▶ chuck lever's "data integrity"
  - ▶ only covers read + write, nothing for filenames