

# Long-lived working groups in the Operations and management area

Joel Jaeggli  
IETF 88

# Working-Group practices

- Ways of working vary between working-groups.
- The Operations and Management area has a number of WG, we don't close down just because the current milestones are completed.
  - This is natural, the problem didn't go away.
  - But, it means that renewal needs to occur.
- While charters are fairly open-ended, they should reflect current activities (not just the milestones).
- We (the collective IETF we) cast a fairly jaundiced eye in general on WG rechartering.
- Not all ops WGs fit into this category, some close down normally after addressing their charter-items.

# Practice of re/chartering

- Seems to be more stringent in practice, then when I was a WG chair and worked on opsec.
- Given that the WG count has essentially remained constant over the previous decade, procedural style drift hasn't actually changed the visible outcome that much.
- I get the impression that stakes are pretty high for the creation of new working groups, perhaps more-so then in the past or simply that the stakes for the participants are higher.
  - The result is that the high-pass filter for new or revised working-group charters is pretty high.
  - The distribution of labor tends to be weighted towards the sponsoring AD.

# Progress So-Far

- Mboned had already started the process when I became WG chair.
  - Has come out the other side.
- Opsec
  - Almost there.
- Time to start in on
  - Dnsop
  - Others?

Questions?