Path Computation Element WG Status

Jean-Philippe Vasseur (jvasseur@cisco.com)
Julien Meuric (julien.meuric@orange.com)

Administrivia

- Blue Sheets, scribe(s)
- JP's apology for being unable to attend
- Presenters, stick to your timeslot
 - including questions...
- People at the mic, state you name

 Reminder: please consider requesting slots if there is enough interest expressed by the WG

Note Well

This summary is only meant to point you in the right direction, and doesn't have all the nuances. The IETF's IPR Policy is set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

The brief summary:

- By participating with the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes.
- If you are aware that a contribution of yours (something you write, say, or discuss in any IETF context) is covered by patents or patent applications, you need to disclose that fact.
- You understand that meetings might be recorded, broadcast, and publicly archived.

For further information, talk to a chair, ask an Area Director, or review the following:

BCP 9 (on the Internet Standards Process)

BCP 25 (on the Working Group processes)

BCP 78 (on the IETF Trust)

BCP 79 (on Intellectual Property Rights in the IETF)

Milestones

Done	Submit the GMPLS requirements to the IESG
Sep 2013	Submit inter-area/AS applicability statement to the IESG
Sep 2013	Submit PCEP extensions for GMPLS to the IESG
Nov 2013	Submit inter-layer extensions to the IESG
Nov 2013	Submit extensions for hierarchical model to the IESG
Jan 2014	Submit the PCEP MIB to the IESG
Apr 2014	Submit the discovery MIB to the IESG
Apr 2014	Submit P2MP MIB to the IESG
Sep 2014	Submit the stateful PCE document(s) to the IESG
Feb 2015	Evaluate WG progress, recharter or close

Agenda Bashing

1. Introduction

2. WG I-Ds

PCEP Extensions to Compute Service-Aware LSPs (Zafar Ali, 5 min)

3. Stateful PCE

- 3.1. Stateful PCE Applicability (Xian Zhang, 5 min)
- 3.2. PCEP Extensions for Stateful PCE and PCE-Initiated LSPs (Ina Minei, 10 min)
- 3.3. PCEP Extensions for Stateful PCE and GMPLS LSPs (Xian Zhang, 5 min)
- 3.4. PCEP Extensions for Remote-initiated GMPLS LSPs (Zafar Ali, 15 min)
- 3.5. Conveying Path Setup Types in PCEP (Siva Sivabalan, 5 min)
- 3.6. PCE Path Profiles (Santiago Alvarez, 5 min)
- 3.7. Use Cases for PCE as Central Controller (Quintin Zhao, 10 min)
- 3.8. Appication-oriented Stateful PCE Architecture and Use Cases (Young Lee, 10 min)

4. Discussed IDs

- 4.1. Secured Transport for PCEP (Diego Lopez, 10 min)
- 4.2. PCE Discovery Using DNS (Qin Wu, 10 min)
- 4.3. PCEP Extensions for Receiving SRLG Information (Dhruv Dhody, 5 min)

5. New I-Ds not Discussed...

- 5.1. TED Dissemination for Hierarchical PCE (Oscar Gonzales de Dios, 10 min)
- 5.2. PCEP Extensions for Link Bandwidth Utilization (Qin Wu, 10 min)
- 5.3. PCE Support for Domain Diversity (Dhruv Dhody, 5 min)
- 5.4. PCEP Extensions for Cross-Domain Label Distribution (Huaimo Chen, 10 min)

Documents beyond WG

- One new RFC since Berlin
 - RFC 7025: GMPLS Reqs in PCEP

- Two I-Ds in IESG review
 - draft-ietf-pce-pcep-inter-domain-p2mp-procedures
 - draft-ietf-pce-vendor-constraints

Expired Documents

- draft-ietf-pce-inter-area-as-applicability
 - pending an unreliable contributor
- draft-ietf-pce-enhanced-errors
 - pending confirmation of new editor
- draft-ietf-pce-inter-layer-ext
 - pending GMPLS extensions
- draft-ietf-pce-disc-mib
 - ready for last call?

Recent WG documents

draft-ietf-pce-hierarchy-extensions

- draft-ietf-pce-stateful-pce-app
 - on the agenda

draft-ietf-pce-questions

Not on the Agenda

- draft-ietf-gmpls-pcep-extensions
 - editor is changing company, will resume after
- draft-ietf-pce-pcep-domain-sequence
 - pending feedback
- draft-ietf-pce-pcep-mib
 - to be sent to MIB Doctor?
- draft-ietf-pce-wson-routing-wavelength
 - ready for last call?
- draft-ietf-pce-wson-rwa-ext
 - pending GMPLS document

Related Initiatives

- 6TiSCH WG has been formed (INT area)
 - "IPv6 over TimeSlotted Channels"
 - may interact with the PCE WG
 - e.g., see draft-thubert-6tisch-architecture
- Deterministic Ethernet
 - chairs have been approached to see whether the PCE infrastructure could be used
 - will be discussed on the mailing list