

RSVP-TE Labeling and Signaling in support of Flexible Grid

CCAMP WG, IETF 89th, London, UK

draft-farrkingel-ccamp-flexigrid-lambda-label-08.txt

draft-zhang-ccamp-flexible-grid-rsvp-te-ext-04.txt

Authors/Contributors:

Fatai Zhang (zhangfatai@huawei.com)

Xian Zhang (zhang.xian@huawei.com)

Adrian Farrel (adrian@olddog.co.uk)

Oscar Gonzalez de Dios (ogondio@tid.es)

Daniele Ceccarelli(daniele.ceccarelli@ericsson.com)

Ramon Casellas (ramon.casellas@cttc.es)

Felipe Jimenez Arribas (felipej@tid.es)

Yi Lin (yi.lin@huawei.com)

Qilei Wang(wang.qilei@zte.com.cn)

Haomian Zheng (zhenghaomian@huawei.com)

Daniel King (daniel@olddog.co.uk)

Yao Li (wsliguotou@hotmail.com)

Overview

- Two drafts
 - Different origins
 - Separate the different issues
- Define the format of a flexi-grid label in the context of RFC 6205 LSC labels
 - What quantity is switched ($\text{Slot Width (GHz)} = 12.5 \text{ GHz} * m$)
 - What resource is reserved (Grid= ITU-T Flex)
- Update to traffic parameters (TSpec and FlowSpec) to specify the flexi-grid bandwidth information
- Signaling procedure
 - Basic signaling procedure followed
 - New Objects/parameters need to be used

Changes from Previous Versions

- Ensured consistency between the two drafts
- Updated label and traffic parameter format
 - Changed length of **m field to 16 bits** for future-proofing
- Added sections on “Implementation Status” (RFC 6982)
 - CTTC
 - More additions planned
- Editorial updates through the draft, including Contributor and Acknowledgment sections

Open Issues and Next Steps

- Should we make the channel spacing more flexible?
 - Currently CS value 5 means channel spacing is 6.25 GHz
 - We could make this a variable parameter
 - Prefer to revisit this using CS value 6 in the future if it becomes an issue
- How do we handle concatenation and virtual concatenation?
 - It seems we already have mechanism in GMPLS (e.g., RFC6344, etc.)
- These should all be questions for the working group (not just the authors)
 - Framework is already a WG draft
 - Time to adopt these two drafts into the WG