### DISPATCH WG IETF 89

Mary Barnes (WG co-chair) Cullen Jennings (WG co-chair)



# Note Well

- Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:
  - the IETF plenary session,
  - any IETF working group or portion thereof,
  - the IESG or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG,
  - the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,
  - any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices,
  - the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function
- All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of <u>RFC 5378</u> and <u>RFC 3979</u> (updated by <u>RFC 4879</u>). Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult <u>RFC 5378</u> and <u>RFC 3979</u> for details.
- A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.
- A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.





### Agenda – Monday, March 3, 2014

15:20-15:35 Agenda bash, Status, DART Charter, Other items of interest (Chairs)

15:35-16:20 CoMPIS (OpenBTS) (Jim Forster)



# Status

- Other topics proposed within pre-IETF-89 timeline:
  - TLS sessions in SIP using DANE:
    <u>http://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-johansson-dispatch-dane-sip/</u>
    - DISPATCHed to SIPCORE.
  - Lossless Recording:

http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/dispatch/current/msg05258.html

DISPATCHed to SIPREC



# Proposed IETF-90 Deadlines

- June 6, 2014. Cutoff date to notify the chairs/DISPATCH WG of plans to submit a proposal.
- June 13, 2014. Cutoff for charter proposals for topics.
- June 20, 2014. Announcement of topics for IETF-90
- July 4, 2014. Draft deadline.

\* Note: all deadlines are on a Friday.

# **DART** Charter

#### DART - DSCP Applied to Real-time Transports

DiffServ code points (DSCP) can be used in some situations to provide quality of service (QoS). Packets with different marketings can be reordered, which can cause poor interaction with a transport that is responsive to reordering. When RTP streams or other real-time media (sub-)flows are used with different DSCP values with the same transport 5-tuple, there are interactions with the transports. There are also environments where the DSCP markings are removed or remarked.

This WG will write a document that explains the limitations that exist with DSCP when used with RTP in general as well in the specific RTCWeb cases. The WG will coordinate with relevant WGs, including TSVWG, AVTCORE, MMUSIC, CLUE, and RTCWEB

Aug 2014 - Send Information draft to IESG on DSCP usage consideration

# **SIPNOC 2014**

- Three-day, technically-focused educational conference for service providers and network operators on how to "Make SIP Work Better in the Network"
- June 9-12, 2014 at Hyatt Dulles Hotel, Herndon, VA
- Developed specifically for the technical and operational staff of service providers, including network architects and engineers
- Focused on addressing key deployment and operational issues involving SIP-based technology and services
- For more information: http://www.sipnoc.org

# **SIPNOC 2014 Topics**

**Application Development Recommendations** Network and Applications Testing Considerations and Tools SIP Trunking Interoperability Best Practices SIPconnect 2.0 Requirements FoIP/T.38 Interoperability WebRTC Sessions and Demos SIP Network Operations Center (NOC) Best Practices SIP Carrier-to-Carrier Interconnection **QoS Maintenance** IPv6 Deployment Challenges and Recommendations User-Agent Configuration Standardization Efforts **Emergency Services Deployment** Standardization Initiatives and the Evolution of SIP **Policy Server Considerations** Security Deployment Considerations and Best Practices Anatomy of a T-DOS Attack **Operational Issues and Solutions** SIP-Based Video Relay Service Interoperability Measurement, Monitoring and Troubleshooting HD-Voice and Video Deployment Challenges

### \*New\* SIP Forum/ATIS NNI Task Force

#### Objectives:

- Identify a baseline set of features that should be common to all IP-NNI implementations for voice service.
- identify gaps or ambiguities in existing standards and request that those gaps be addressed by the responsible Standards Development Organization [SDO].
- Produce one or more specifications that define a common set of implementation rules for SIP Service Providers [SSP] who desire to interconnect with another SSP for voice initially. Ultimately, there will be a need for specifications that cover other types of real time multimedia traffic, but that will not be explicitly considered by this Task Force.

### New AD

- Alissa Cooper is the new RAI AD replacing Gonzalo.
- Thanks to Gonzalo for his 4 years of service (as AD)!

ATOCA WG CODEC WG CUSS WG DISPATCH WG SALUD WG STOX WG XCON WG

# XCON / Co-AD

