# Quick Failover Algorithm in SCTP draft-ietf-tsvwg-sctp-failover

Y. Nishida, P. Natarajan, A. Caro, P. Amer, K. Nielsen

IETF 89, London

### Status

- Version-03 uploaded 02.03.2014
- Draft has been updated in accordance with decisions taken at IETF 88 in regard to all known open points:
  - CNWD handling in PF
  - Association Error Counter Handling
  - Permanent Failover
  - APIs for PF

## CWND handling in PF

- PF is kept independent from congestion control
  - Suggestions related to cwnd/ssthresh have been removed

## **Association Error Counter Handling**

- PF state exposes generic problem with counting of HB failures in association error counter when the HB rate is different on the different paths
- Generic RFC4960 issue handled by Errata 3788 (Verified).

#### Permanent Failover

- Purpose of Quick Failover is to improve the failover performance of SCTP. Also the switchback operation after failover significantly impacts the performance.
- Permanent Failover switchback operation now adopted (MAY) in draft.
- [RFC4960] switchback behavior is suboptimal in certain situations, especially in scenarios where a number of equally good paths are available. It is recommended for SCTP to support also, as alternative behavior, the Permanent Failover modes of operation where forced switch back to a previously failed primary path is not always performed.
- We recommend that SCTP-PF should stick to the standard RFC4960 behavior as default, i.e., switch back to the old primary destination once the destination becomes active again.
   However, implementations MAY implement Permanent Failover and MAY enable it based on network configurations or users' requests.

#### APIs for PF

- API for control of PF feature
- NEW: API for control of switchover mechanism
  - RFC4960 Default or Permanent Failover
- NEW: API for notification of PF state changes:
  - ACTIVE  $\rightarrow$  PF, PF  $\rightarrow$  ACTIVE
  - via existing SCTP\_PEER\_ADDR\_CHANGE, SCTP\_GET\_PEER\_ADDR\_INFO
- NEW: API for control of whether PF state changes are suppressed (to support legacy RFC4960 state machine, to support ULP which don't care).

## Next steps

- Experimental or PS?
  - Authors propose for PS.
  - Not sure what we will do for experiments
  - Logic is pretty simple
  - Analysis has been done in several papers
  - Function running in deployment
  - Already have three implementations (FreeBSD, Ericsson, Linux)
- Progress to WG LC?